

**ASSEMBLY BILL**

**No. 325**

---

---

**Introduced by Assembly Member Reyes**

February 16, 2001

---

---

An act to repeal Section 17072.25 of the Education Code, relating to school facilities.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 325, as introduced, Reyes. School facilities: state funding.

Existing law, the Leroy F. Greene School Facilities Act of 1998, establishes a program for allocation by the State Allocation Board of state per-pupil funding to school districts for new construction and modernization of school facilities, including hardship funding, and supplemental funding for site development and acquisition.

Existing law requires the board to adopt regulations to develop a mechanism, for use when available state funding reaches prescribed levels, to rank approved applications for new construction funding by allocating priority points to the applicants based upon factors, including, but not limited to, the percentages of currently, and projected future, unhoused pupils.

This bill would repeal this provision.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Section 17072.25 of the Education Code is  
2 repealed.

1 ~~17072.25.—(a) The board shall adopt regulations to develop a~~  
2 ~~mechanism to rank approved applications for new construction~~  
3 ~~funding. This mechanism shall be used to determine the priority~~  
4 ~~of approved applications when either of the following conditions~~  
5 ~~are met:~~  
6 ~~(1) The total state funds necessary for funding all approved~~  
7 ~~projects pursuant to this chapter exceed the total state funds in the~~  
8 ~~fund for allocation pursuant to this chapter.~~  
9 ~~(2) The actual amount of unallocated proceeds of state bonds~~  
10 ~~available on or after July 1, 2000, for new construction for the~~  
11 ~~purposes of this chapter is at three hundred million dollars~~  
12 ~~(\$300,000,000).~~  
13 ~~(b) The ranking mechanism shall allocate priority points based~~  
14 ~~upon the percentages of currently and projected unhoused pupils~~  
15 ~~relative to the total population of the applicant district or~~  
16 ~~attendance area and the total number of currently and projected~~  
17 ~~unhoused pupils in an applicant district or attendance area.~~  
18 ~~(c) The board may award priority points based on other factors~~  
19 ~~that in its judgment result in the most equitable distribution of~~  
20 ~~resources among applicants. The additional factors may not~~  
21 ~~constitute greater than a 10-percent weight in the overall priority~~  
22 ~~ranking.~~

