

Assembly Bill No. 2851

Passed the Assembly May 17, 2004

Chief Clerk of the Assembly

Passed the Senate August 11, 2004

Secretary of the Senate

This bill was received by the Governor this _____ day of
_____, 2004, at _____ o'clock __M.

Private Secretary of the Governor



CHAPTER _____

An act to amend Section 17581.5 of the Government Code, relating to local mandate reimbursement, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2851, Laird. Budget Act: state mandates.

(1) The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement, including the creation of a State Mandates Claims Fund to pay the costs of mandates that do not exceed \$1,000,000 statewide and other procedures for claims whose statewide costs exceed \$1,000,000.

Existing statutory law provides that a school district may not be required to implement or give effect to a statute imposing a state mandate for a specified period if it is identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act as being suspended. Existing law provides that this suspension provision is applicable only to specified mandates.

This bill would additionally make this suspension provision applicable to state mandates relating to certain investment reports and county treasury oversight committees.

(2) Existing law provides that the Commission on State Mandates shall not find costs to be mandated by the state if, among other things, the local agency or school district has authority to levy charges, fees, or assessments sufficient to pay for the mandated program or increased level of service.

Existing law, the Surface Mining and Reclamation Act of 1975, requires local agencies, within 12 months of receiving mineral information and of being designated an area of statewide or regional significance, and in accordance with state policy, to establish mineral resource management policies in their general plans. Existing law also authorizes these local agencies to impose a fee upon mining operations to cover the reasonable costs incurred in implementing the act.

This bill would state that the Legislature finds and declares that the act no longer imposes a reimbursable mandate under these



provisions because local agencies subject to the act have authority to levy fees to pay for the cost of the program mandated by the act.

(3) The Budget Act of 2003 provides that state-mandated local programs relating to, among others, Democratic Party presidential delegates, election materials, and specified county social services are suspended during the 2003–04 fiscal year.

This bill would state that the Legislature finds and declares that specified statutes relating to Democratic Party presidential delegates and certain county social services no longer constitute reimbursable mandates under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because they have been repealed.

(4) This bill also would direct the Commission on State Mandates, by January 1, 2006, to reconsider whether specified statutes continue to constitute reimbursable mandates in light of federal statutes enacted and federal and state court decisions rendered since enactment of these mandates.

(5) This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. Section 17581.5 of the Government Code is amended to read:

17581.5. (a) A school district may not be required to implement or give effect to the statutes, or portion thereof, identified in subdivision (b) during any fiscal year and for the period immediately following that fiscal year for which the Budget Act has not been enacted for the subsequent fiscal year if all of the following apply:

(1) The statute or portion thereof, has been determined by the Legislature, the commission, or any court to mandate a new program or higher level of service requiring reimbursement of school districts pursuant to Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution.

(2) The statute, or portion thereof, has been specifically identified by the Legislature in the Budget Act for the fiscal year as being one for which reimbursement is not provided for that fiscal year. For purposes of this paragraph, a mandate shall be considered to have been specifically identified by the Legislature only if it has been included within the schedule of reimbursable



mandates shown in the Budget Act and it is specifically identified in the language of a provision of the item providing the appropriation for mandate reimbursements.

(b) This section applies only to the following mandates:

(1) The School Bus Safety I (CSM-4433) and II (97-TC-22) mandates (Chapter 642 of the Statutes of 1992; Chapter 831 of the Statutes of 1994; and Chapter 739 of the Statutes of 1997).

(2) The School Crimes Reporting II mandate (97-TC-03; and Chapter 759 of the Statutes of 1992 and Chapter 410 of the Statutes of 1995).

(3) Investment reports (96-358-02; and Chapter 783 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapters 156 and 749 of the Statutes of 1996).

(4) County treasury oversight committees (96-365-03; and Chapter 784 of the Statutes of 1995 and Chapter 156 of the Statutes of 1996).

SEC. 2. The Legislature hereby finds and declares that, notwithstanding a prior determination by the Board of Control, acting as the predecessor agency for the Commission on State Mandates, and pursuant to subdivision (d) of Section 17556 of the Government Code, the state-mandated local program imposed by Chapter 1131 of the Statutes of 1975 no longer constitutes a reimbursable mandate under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because subdivision (e) of Section 2207 of the Public Resources Code, as added by Chapter 1097 of the Statutes of 1990, confers on local agencies subject to that mandate authority to levy fees sufficient to pay for the mandated program.

SEC. 3. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, by January 1, 2006, the Commission on State Mandates shall reconsider whether each of the following statutes constitutes a reimbursable mandate under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution in light of federal statutes enacted and federal and state court decisions rendered since these statutes were enacted:

(a) Sex offenders: disclosure by law enforcement officers (97-TC-15; and Chapters 908 and 909 of the Statutes of 1996, Chapters 17, 80, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, and 822 of the Statutes of 1997, and Chapters 485, 550, 927, 928, 929, and 930 of the Statutes of 1998).

(b) Extended commitment, Youth Authority (98-TC-13; and Chapter 267 of the Statutes of 1998).



(c) Brown Act Reforms (CSM-4469; and Chapters 1136, 1137, and 1138 of the Statutes of 1993, and Chapter 32 of the Statutes of 1994).

(d) Photographic Record of Evidence (No. 98-TC-07; and Chapter 875 of the Statutes of 1985, Chapter 734 of the Statutes of 1986, and Chapter 382 of the Statutes of 1990).

SEC. 4. The Legislature hereby finds and declares that the following statutes no longer constitute a reimbursable mandate under Section 6 of Article XIII B of the California Constitution because provisions containing the reimbursable mandate have been repealed:

(a) Democratic Party presidential delegates (CSM-4131; and Chapter 1603 of the Statutes of 1982 and Chapter 8 of the Statutes of 1988, which enacted statutes that were repealed by Chapter 920 of the Statutes of 1994).

(b) Short-Doyle case management, Short-Doyle audits, and residential care services (CSM-4238; and Chapter 815 of the Statutes of 1979, Chapter 1327 of the Statutes of 1984, and Chapter 1352 of the Statutes of 1985, which enacted statutes that were repealed by Chapter 89 of the Statutes of 1991).

SEC. 5. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within the meaning of Article IV of the Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:

In order to make necessary statutory changes to fully implement the Budget Act of 2003 at the earliest possible time, it is necessary that this act take effect immediately.



Approved _____, 2004

Governor

