Introduced by Senator Simitian

February 17, 2005

An act to add Part 3.7 (commencing with Section 71175) to Division 34 of the Public Resources Code, relating to ecological labeling.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 369, as introduced, Simitian. Ecological labeling.

Existing law provides labeling requirements for various products, including, but not limited to, prohibiting a person from selling a plastic bag that is labeled as "biodegradable," "compostable," "degradable," or as otherwise specified, unless, at the time of the sale, the plastic bag meets a current ASTM standard specification for the term used on the label.

This bill would require the California Environmental Protection Agency to establish a Commission on Ecological Labeling to award the Green Bear Eco-Label to a product or service that meets the criteria adopted by the commission or is subject to a determination by a specified panel of experts.

The bill would require the commission to adopt criteria for awarding a label to a product or service and would specify a procedure for adopting and revising the criteria.

The bill would allow a producer or distributor to present a proposal or application to the commission for an award of a label to a product or service. The bill would require the commission to convene an independent panel of experts to make certain findings based on the documentation submitted by the applicant and supporting market and environmental research criteria. The bill would require the panel, upon receipt of a proposal or application, to prepare a preliminary finding of whether the product or service should be awarded a label, and would

 $SB 369 \qquad \qquad -2-$

require the commission to conduct a hearing regarding the finding made by the panel. The bill would require the commission to award a label to a product or service, if the commission determines the product or service meets or exceeds the environmental criteria adopted by the commission.

The bill would provide that if a producer or distributor presents a proposal or application to the commission for an award of a label to a product or service for which the commission has not adopted criteria, the commission would be required to consult with the panel of experts, as specified, conduct a hearing, and award a label to a product or service, if the commission determines the product or service has significantly less adverse environmental impacts than a competing product or service.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Part 3.7 (commencing with Section 71175) is added to Division 34 of the Public Resources Code, to read: 3 4 PART 3.7. GREEN BEAR ECO-LABEL ACT 5 Chapter 1. Legislative Findings and Intent 6 7 8 71175. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following: 9 (a) Producers and distributors should be encouraged to produce and distribute environmentally sound products, 10 including goods and services. 11 12 (b) A producer or distributor who produces or distributes an

- environmentally sound product should inform consumers of the environmental features of these goods or services.
- (c) A product that is especially environmentally friendly should be awarded the Green Bear Eco-Label.

Chapter 2. Definitions

18 19 20

21

13 14

15

16 17

71176. For purposes of this part, the following definitions shall apply:

-3- SB 369

(a) "Agency" means the California Environmental Protection Agency.

- (b) "Commission" means the Commission on Ecological Labeling established pursuant to Section 71177.
- (c) "Label" means the Green Bear Eco-Label that may be awarded by the commission pursuant to this part.

Chapter 3. Green Bear Eco-Label Requirements

1 2

- 71177. The agency shall establish a Commission on Ecological Labeling to award the Green Bear Eco-Label to a product or service that meets the criteria adopted by the commission pursuant to Section 71178, or is subject to a determination made pursuant to Section 71180.
- 71178. (a) The commission shall, in consultation with nongovernmental environmental organizations, scientific, economic, standardization, consumer protection and health care institutions, and the Secretary of the Resources Agency, or their representatives, adopt criteria pursuant to this section for awarding a label to a product or service.
- (1) The criteria shall require the product or service to meet all of the following requirements:
- (A) Fully fulfill the same function as other products or services having a similar use or purpose.
- (B) Impose a smaller environmental burden than similar products or services, during the product's or service's entire life cycle, taking raw materials, manufacture, use, and disposal into consideration.
- (C) Reduce environmental burdens in other ways, so as to contribute significantly to environmental conservation without greatly reducing the product's or service's suitability for use and maintenance of safeness.
- (2) The criteria shall encourage and recognize reduced environmental impacts, and outline the environmental and performance characteristics that a product or service is required to meet to be awarded a label pursuant to this part.
 - (3) The criteria shall be challenging, yet feasible.
- (b) For each type of product or service for which criteria is adopted, the commission shall establish a committee composed of relevant stakeholder groups to ensure that all relevant

SB 369 —4—

technical issues are addressed, the scientific validity of the criteria is maintained, and the economic feasibility of the criteria is taken into account.

- (c) When adopting criteria pursuant to this section, the commission shall do all of the following:
- (1) Conduct research into the product's or service's life cycle to outline environmental, technical, market, and economic considerations associated with the product category.
- (2) Issue a draft criteria that addresses the environmental issues identified in the research.
- (3) Provide a four to eight week period for public review of the draft criteria and distribute the draft criteria directly to stakeholders.
- (4) Consider all comments submitted to the commission during the review period conducted pursuant to paragraph (3) and revise the criteria accordingly.
- (5) After the review period is completed, publish the final criteria.
- (d) (1) The commission may revise any criteria adopted pursuant to this section at any time if significant technical or market developments occur that justify revising the criteria.
- (2) The commission shall review the criteria adopted pursuant to this section at least once every three years to ensure the criteria continues to be relevant and stringent, and may reconfirm, revise, or revoke the criteria in accordance with this part.
- (3) When revising criteria pursuant to this subdivision, the commission shall consult with the producer or distributor that produces or distributes a product or service that has received a label pursuant to this part and with any other interested party.

CHAPTER 4. ECO-LABEL AWARD PROCEDURE

71179. (a) A producer or distributor may present a proposal or application to the commission for an award of a label to a product or service.

(b) The commission shall convene an independent panel of experts, which shall meet quarterly. The panel shall make any findings required pursuant to this part based on the documentation submitted by the applicant, and supporting market and environmental research. If a similar product or service has

-5- SB 369

been certified previously, the panel shall require an applicant's product or service to meet the established or equivalent criteria.

- (c) Upon receipt of a proposal or application, the panel of experts shall prepare a preliminary finding of whether the product or service should be awarded a label, in accordance with the criteria adopted pursuant to Section 71178.
- (d) The commission shall conduct a hearing regarding the finding made by the panel pursuant to subdivision (c).
- (e) After conducting a hearing pursuant to subdivision (d), the commission shall award a label to a product or service, if the commission determines the product or service meets or exceeds the environmental criteria specified in the criteria adopted pursuant to Section 71178.
- 71180. (a) If a producer or distributor presents a proposal or application to the commission for an award of a label to a product or service for which the commission has not adopted criteria pursuant to Section 71178, the commission shall consult with the panel of experts specified in subdivision (b) of Section 71189. The panel shall issue a finding to the commission regarding whether the product or service has significantly less adverse environmental impacts than a competing product or service and should be awarded a label pursuant to this part.
- (b) The commission shall conduct a hearing regarding the finding made by the panel pursuant to subdivision (a).
- (c) After conducting a hearing pursuant to subdivision (a), the commission shall award a label to a product or service pursuant to this section, if the commission determines the product or service has significantly less adverse environmental impacts than a competing product or service.