

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 28, 2010

**SENATE BILL**

**No. 993**

---

---

**Introduced by Senator Huff**

February 9, 2010

---

---

An act to amend Section 366 of the Streets and Highways Code, relating to state highways.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 993, as amended, Huff. State Highway Route ~~66: City of Claremont.~~ *66.*

Existing law provides that the Department of Transportation has full possession and control of all state highways. Existing law describes the authorized routes in the state highway system and establishes a process for adoption of a highway on an authorized route by the California Transportation Commission. Existing law authorizes the commission to relinquish certain state highway segments to local agencies. *Existing law described the authorized route of State Highway Route 66 and authorizes certain portions of the route to be relinquished to the specified cities.*

~~This bill would authorize the commission to relinquish to the City of Claremont that portion~~ *revise that description of State Highway Route 66 within its city limits under specified conditions to indicate portions of the route that have been relinquished or which have been authorized to be relinquished, as specified.*

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: ~~yes~~-no. State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Section 366 of the Streets and Highways Code  
2 is amended to read:

3 366. (a) Route 66 is ~~from~~ *from*:

4 (1) Route 210 near San Dimas to ~~Route 215 in San Bernardino.~~  
5 *the Los Angeles-San Bernardino county line at the western city*  
6 *limit of the City of Upland.*

7 (2) *The eastern city limit of the City of Fontana near Maple*  
8 *Avenue to Route 215 in San Bernardino.*

9 (b) *The relinquished former portions of Route 66 within the city*  
10 *limits of the Cities of Fontana, Rancho Cucamonga, and Upland*  
11 *are not state highways and are not eligible for adoption under*  
12 *Section 81. For the portions of Route 66 relinquished under this*  
13 *section, the Cities of Fontana, Rancho Cucamonga, and Upland*  
14 *shall maintain within their respective jurisdictions signs directing*  
15 *motorists to the continuation of Route 66 and ensure the continuity*  
16 *of traffic flow on the relinquished portions of Route 66, including*  
17 *any traffic signal progression.*

18 ~~(b)~~

19 (c) (1) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), the commission may  
20 relinquish to the City of ~~Claremont, the City of Fontana, the City~~  
21 ~~of Rancho Cucamonga, the City of Rialto, and the City of Upland~~  
22 ~~the respective Rialto~~ *the* portion of Route 66 that is located within  
23 the city limits or the sphere of influence of ~~each~~ *the* city, upon  
24 terms and conditions the commission finds to be in the best  
25 interests of the state.

26 (2) A relinquishment under this subdivision shall become  
27 effective immediately following the recordation by the county  
28 recorder of the relinquishment resolution containing the  
29 commission's approval of the terms and conditions of the  
30 relinquishment.

31 (3) On and after the effective date of the relinquishment, both  
32 of the following shall occur:

33 (A) The portion of Route 66 relinquished under this subdivision  
34 shall cease to be a state highway.

35 (B) The portion of Route 66 relinquished under this subdivision  
36 may not be considered for future adoption under Section 81.

37 ~~(e) The city~~

1     (4) *The City of Rialto* shall ensure the continuity of traffic flow  
2 on the relinquished portion of Route 66, including any traffic signal  
3 progression.

4     ~~(4)~~

5     (5) For ~~relinquished portions~~ *the relinquished portion* of Route  
6 66, ~~the city~~ *City of Rialto* shall maintain signs directing motorists  
7 to the continuation of Route 66.

O