

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2181

Introduced by Assembly Member Bloom

February 20, 2014

An act to amend Sections 19160, 19161, 19162, and 19163 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to building standards.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2181, as introduced, Bloom. Building standards: seismic retrofit.

Existing law authorizes a city, city and county, or county to establish, by ordinance, building seismic retrofit standards applicable to the seismic retrofit of any buildings identified, as specified, by the city, city and county, or county as being hazardous to life if an earthquake occurs. Existing law identifies specified types of buildings as potentially hazardous under these provisions, including certain unreinforced masonry buildings and specified woodframe, multiunit residential buildings constructed before January 1, 1978.

This bill would additionally authorize each city, city and county, or county to require that owners assess the earthquake hazard of soft story and older concrete buildings, and would include concrete residential buildings that were constructed prior to the adoption of local building codes that ensure ductility, as specified, as potentially hazardous if an earthquake occurs. The bill would authorize a city, city and county, or to employ seismic evaluation of older concrete residential buildings to address individual seismically hazardous buildings without regard to how the buildings came to the attention of its officials. The bill would require the seismic retrofit of a concrete residential building identified as potentially hazardous to comply with the recommendations of a

qualified expert, with nationally recognized research recommendations, or with a nationally recognized model cake, as specified.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 19160 of the Health and Safety Code is
2 amended to read:

3 19160. The Legislature finds and declares that:

4 (a) *The harmful effects of future earthquakes can be reduced*
5 *through sound retrofitting programs, also known as reconstruction*
6 *programs.*

7 ~~(a)~~

8 ~~(b)~~ Because of the ~~generally acknowledged fact~~ *United States*
9 *Geological Survey predicts a greater than 99 percent likelihood*
10 *that California will experience moderate to severe earthquakes in*
11 ~~the foreseeable future before 2038~~, increased efforts to reduce
12 earthquake hazards should be encouraged and supported.

13 ~~(b)~~

14 (c) Tens of thousands of buildings subject to severe earthquake
15 hazards continue to be a serious danger to the life and safety of
16 hundreds of thousands of Californians who live and work in them
17 in the event of an earthquake. *The buildings themselves are also*
18 *at risk.*

19 ~~(c)~~

20 (d) Improvement of safety to life is the primary goal of building
21 reconstruction to reduce earthquake hazards.

22 (e) *Because every dollar spent on mitigation saves several*
23 *dollars in future postdisaster expenditures, a second major goal*
24 *is to reduce public costs for disaster relief.*

25 ~~(d)~~

26 (f) In order to make ~~building~~ *the evaluation and reconstruction*
27 *of buildings that are at high risk of seismic failure* economically
28 ~~feasible for~~, and to ~~provide improvement of~~ *improve* the safety of
29 ~~life in, seismically hazardous~~ *these buildings*, building standards
30 enacted by local government for building reconstruction may differ
31 from building standards which govern new building construction.

32 (g) *Because higher costs will discourage necessary*
33 *reconstruction, the standards that govern new buildings should*

1 *not apply to reconstruction unless they are needed to achieve the*
2 *desired increase in seismic capacity.*

3 *(h) “Older concrete residential buildings,” also known as*
4 *“nonductile concrete residential buildings” and “pre-1980*
5 *concrete residential buildings,” are a subset of concrete buildings*
6 *that may be unable to resist earthquake motion. They include*
7 *lift-slab buildings with concrete lateral force resisting systems.*

8 *(i) These buildings were a prevalent construction type in highly*
9 *seismic zones prior to the mid-1970s, are an important component*
10 *of the state’s housing stock, and are in jeopardy of being lost in*
11 *the event of a major earthquake.*

12 *(j) The California Office of Emergency Services reports that*
13 *concrete buildings, particularly older ones with high numbers of*
14 *occupants, can collapse and kill hundreds, and are the fastest*
15 *growing cause of earthquake losses around the world.*

16 *(k) During an earthquake, older concrete residential buildings*
17 *may create dangerous conditions, as illustrated by the catastrophic*
18 *damage or collapse of older concrete buildings in the earthquakes*
19 *of San Fernando, Loma Prieta, and Northridge, California (1971,*
20 *1989, and 1994), Kobe, Japan (1995), Chi Chi, Taiwan (1999),*
21 *Kocaeli, Duzce, and Bingol, Turkey (1999, 1999, and 2003),*
22 *Sumatra (2005), Pakistan (2005), Sichuan, China (2008), Haiti*
23 *(2010) and Christchurch, New Zealand (2011).*

24 *(l) California instituted building code changes in the mid-1970s*
25 *to prevent these problems in future construction, but four decades*
26 *later, the great majority of California’s concrete buildings that*
27 *were constructed before these changes have still not been evaluated*
28 *or retrofitted.*

29 *(m) The assistance of the public is necessary in identifying older*
30 *concrete buildings, because no accurate inventory of older concrete*
31 *buildings exists, and none can be compiled by external appearances*
32 *or an examination of public records.*

33 *(n) Once identified, older concrete buildings must be evaluated*
34 *individually by a qualified architect or engineer to assess their*
35 *seismic capacity and whether reconstruction is necessary.*

36 *(o) The failure of older concrete apartment buildings is likely*
37 *to be the source of a disproportionate share of the public shelter*
38 *population in areas of the state where they are occupied by the*
39 *very poor, the very old, and the very young.*

40 *(e)*

1 (p) “Soft story” residential buildings are a subset of multistory
2 woodframe structures that may have inadequately braced lower
3 stories that may not be able to resist earthquake motion.

4 ~~(f)~~

5 (q) Soft story residential buildings are an important component
6 of the state’s housing stock and are in jeopardy of being lost in the
7 event of a major earthquake.

8 ~~(g)~~

9 (r) Soft story residential buildings were responsible for 7,700
10 of the 16,000 housing units rendered uninhabitable by the Loma
11 Prieta earthquake and over 34,000 of the housing units rendered
12 uninhabitable by the Northridge earthquake.

13 ~~(h)~~

14 (s) During an earthquake, soft story residential buildings may
15 create dangerous conditions as illustrated in the Northridge
16 Meadows apartment failure that claimed the lives of 16 residents.

17 ~~(i)~~

18 (t) The collapse of soft story residential buildings can ignite
19 fires that threaten trapped occupants and neighboring buildings
20 and complicates emergency response.

21 ~~(j)~~

22 (u) The Association of Bay Area Governments (ABAG)
23 estimates that soft story residential buildings will be responsible
24 for 66 percent of the uninhabitable housing following an event on
25 the Hayward fault.

26 ~~(k)~~

27 (v) The failure of soft story residential buildings is estimated
28 by ABAG to be the source of a disproportionate share of the public
29 shelter population because they tend to be occupied by the very
30 poor, the very old, and the very young.

31 ~~(l)~~

32 (w) The Seismic Safety Commission has recommended that
33 legislation be enacted to require state and local building code
34 enforcement agencies to identify potentially hazardous buildings
35 and to adopt mandatory mitigation programs that will significantly
36 reduce unacceptable hazards in buildings by 2020.

37 ~~(m)~~

38 (x) The current nationally recognized model-code codes relating
39 to the retrofit of existing buildings is Appendix Chapter A4 of *are*
40 the International Existing Building Code *and the Seismic*

1 *Evaluation and Retrofit of Existing Buildings by the American*
2 *Society of Civil Engineers.* However, it is not the intent of the
3 Legislature, if other *research-based recommendations or model*
4 codes relating to the retrofit of existing buildings are developed,
5 to limit the California Building Standards Commission or a local
6 government, pursuant to Section 19162, to adopting a particular
7 *research-based recommendation or model code. Equally, the*
8 *Legislature does not intend for local governments to delay needed*
9 *evaluation and retrofiting programs in the hope that improved*
10 *methods to evaluate and retrofit buildings may be developed.*
11 *Rather, the Legislature finds that existing scientific knowledge*
12 *permits immediate evaluations and retrofitting of older concrete*
13 *buildings to significantly increase the safety of life in and reduce*
14 *earthquake damage to seismically hazardous older concrete*
15 *buildings.*

16 ~~(n)~~
17 (y) Therefore, it is the intent of the Legislature to encourage
18 cities and counties to address the seismic safety of *older concrete*
19 *residential buildings and soft story residential buildings*—and
20 ~~encourage local governments to initiate efforts by encouraging~~
21 ~~and imitating programs to inform owners, residents, and the public~~
22 ~~about the dangers of these potentially hazardous buildings,~~
23 ~~mandate their evaluation at owner expense, and require retrofitting~~
24 ~~to reduce the seismic risk in vulnerable soft story residential~~
25 ~~buildings those that are unacceptably hazardous.~~

26 SEC. 2. Section 19161 of the Health and Safety Code is
27 amended to read:

28 19161. (a) Each city, city and county, or county, may assess
29 the earthquake hazard in its jurisdiction *or require that owners*
30 *assess the earthquake hazard of soft story and older concrete*
31 *buildings, and thereby identify buildings subject to its jurisdiction*
32 *as being potentially hazardous to life in the event of an earthquake.*
33 *Potentially hazardous buildings include, but are not limited to, all*
34 *of the following:*

35 (1) Unreinforced masonry buildings constructed prior to the
36 adoption of local building codes requiring earthquake resistant
37 design of buildings that are constructed of unreinforced masonry
38 wall construction and exhibit any of the following characteristics:

- 39 (A) Exterior parapets or ornamentation that may fall.
40 (B) Exterior walls that are not anchored to the floors or roof.

1 (C) Lack of an effective system to resist seismic forces.
2 (2) Woodframe, multiunit residential buildings constructed
3 before January 1, 1978, where the ground floor portion of the
4 structure contains parking or other similar open floor space that
5 causes soft, weak, or open-front wall lines, as provided in a
6 nationally recognized model code relating to the retrofit of existing
7 buildings or substantially equivalent standards.

8 (3) *Concrete residential buildings, including lift-slab buildings*
9 *with concrete lateral force resisting systems, that were constructed*
10 *prior to the adoption of local building codes that ensure ductility.*

11 (b) Structural evaluations made pursuant to this section shall
12 be made by an architect as defined in Section 5500 of the Business
13 and Professions Code, or a civil or structural engineer registered
14 pursuant to Chapter 7 (commencing with Section 6700) of Division
15 3 of the Business and Professions Code, or staff of the enforcing
16 agency, as described in Section 17960, supervised by an architect
17 or civil or structural engineer authorized by this subdivision to
18 make the structural evaluations.

19 SEC. 3. Section 19162 of the Health and Safety Code is
20 amended to read:

21 19162. (a) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 19100
22 or 19150 or any other provision of law, the governing body of any
23 city, city and county, or county may, by ordinance, establish
24 building seismic retrofit standards applicable to the seismic retrofit
25 of any buildings identified pursuant to paragraph (1) of subdivision
26 (a) of Section 19161 by the city, city and county, or county as
27 being potentially hazardous to life in the event of an earthquake.

28 (b) (1) Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 19100, 19150,
29 or any other provision of law, the governing body of any city, city
30 and county, or county may, by ordinance, establish building seismic
31 retrofit standards applicable to the seismic retrofit of any buildings
32 identified pursuant to paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section
33 19161 by the city, city and county, or county as being potentially
34 hazardous to life in the event of an earthquake. Any standards
35 established pursuant to this section shall apply until the effective
36 date of building standards adopted by the California Building
37 Standards Commission relating to the retrofit of existing buildings,
38 if any, at which time the standards adopted by the commission as
39 amended by the city, county, or city and county pursuant to Section
40 17958.5 shall apply.

1 (2) A local ordinance establishing building seismic retrofit
2 standards applicable to soft story residential structures adopted
3 before January 1, 2006, shall remain in full force and effect until
4 the effective date of building standards adopted by the California
5 Building Standards Commission relating to the retrofit of existing
6 buildings unless the city, county, or city and county after January
7 1, 2006, adopts an ordinance pursuant to paragraph (1).

8 (c) *Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 19100 or 19150*
9 *or any other provision of law, the governing body of any city, city*
10 *and county, or county may do both of the following:*

11 (1) *Employ seismic evaluations of older concrete residential*
12 *buildings, including lift-slab buildings with concrete lateral force*
13 *resisting systems, to address individual seismically hazardous*
14 *buildings, without regard to how these buildings came to the*
15 *attention of its officials.*

16 (2) *Establish, by ordinance, building seismic retrofit standards*
17 *applicable to the seismic retrofit of any of these buildings that are*
18 *potentially hazardous to life in the event of an earthquake. Any*
19 *standards established pursuant to this paragraph shall apply until*
20 *the effective date of applicable building standards adopted by the*
21 *California Building Standards Commission relating to the retrofit*
22 *of existing buildings, if any, at which time the standards adopted*
23 *by the commission as amended by the city, city and county or*
24 *county pursuant to Section 17958.5 shall apply.*

25 ~~(e)~~

26 (d) Building seismic retrofit standards adopted pursuant to this
27 section may be applied uniformly throughout the city, city and
28 county, or county, or may be applied in specific areas designated
29 by the city, city and county, or county, *or to specific buildings*
30 *within the city, city and county, or county if those buildings are*
31 *those described in paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section*
32 *19161.*

33 ~~(e)~~

34 (e) For purposes of this chapter, “seismic retrofit” means either
35 structural strengthening or providing the means necessary to modify
36 the seismic response that would otherwise be expected by an
37 existing building during an earthquake, to significantly reduce
38 hazards to life and safety while also providing for the substantial
39 safe ingress and egress of the building occupants immediately after
40 an earthquake.

1 SEC. 4. Section 19163 of the Health and Safety Code is
2 amended to read:

3 19163. Any local ordinance adopted pursuant to Section 19162
4 shall require the following:

5 (a) Any seismic retrofit of any building identified pursuant to
6 paragraph (1) of subdivision (a) of Section 19161 as being
7 hazardous to life in the event of an earthquake shall provide for
8 the reasonable adequacy of all of the following:

9 (1) Unreinforced masonry walls to resist normal and inplane
10 seismic forces.

11 (2) The anchorage and stability of exterior parapets and
12 ornamentation.

13 (3) The anchorage of unreinforced masonry walls to the floors
14 and roof.

15 (4) Floor and roof diaphragms.

16 (5) The development of a complete bracing system to resist
17 earthquake forces.

18 (b) Any seismic retrofit of any building identified pursuant to
19 paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) of Section 19161 as potentially
20 hazardous shall comply with a nationally recognized model code
21 relating to the retrofit of existing buildings or substantially
22 equivalent standards. If the city, county, or city and county adopts
23 local amendments to those provisions, it shall determine that the
24 amendments are consistent with Section 17958.5.

25 (c) *Any seismic retrofit of any building identified pursuant to*
26 *paragraph (3) of subdivision (a) of Section 19161 as potentially*
27 *hazardous shall comply with the recommendations of a qualified*
28 *expert under paragraph (b) of Section 19161 or with nationally*
29 *recognized research recommendations, a nationally recognized*
30 *model code relating to the retrofit of existing buildings, or*
31 *substantially equivalent standards. If the city, city and county, or*
32 *county adopts local amendments to those provisions, it shall*
33 *determine that the amendments are consistent with Section 17958.5.*

34 (e)

35 (d) Seismic retrofit of any building or portions of any building
36 shall be designed to resist and withstand the seismic forces from
37 any direction as set forth in the building seismic retrofit standards
38 using the allowable working stresses adopted pursuant to this
39 article.

40 (d)

1 (e) The governing board of any city, city and county, or county
2 may establish, by ordinance, standards and procedures to fulfill
3 the intent of paragraph (2) of subdivision (a) without regard to
4 the remainder of the requirements specified above.

O