

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2200

Introduced by Assembly Member John A. Pérez

February 20, 2014

An act to add and repeal Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 8305) of Division 1 of Title 2 of the Government Code, relating to cyber security.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2200, as introduced, John A. Pérez. California Cyber Security Commission.

Existing law establishes various advisory boards and commissions in state government with specified duties and responsibilities.

This bill would create the California Cyber Security Commission consisting of members comprised of representatives from state, local, and federal government, the Legislature, and private industries, as specified. The duties of the commission would include establishing cyber-attack response strategies and defining a hierarchy of command within the state for this purpose. The bill would require the commission to meet on a monthly basis, and would require the commission to issue a report on a quarterly basis to the Governor's Office and the Legislature that details the cyber security status and progress of the state and makes recommendations on how to improve the cyber security of the state.

This bill would abolish the commission, and repeal these provisions, on January 1, 2020.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Chapter 4.5 (commencing with Section 8305) is
2 added to Division 1 of Title 2 of the Government Code, to read:

3

4 CHAPTER 4.5. CALIFORNIA CYBER SECURITY COMMISSION

5

6 8305. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

7 (a) The State of California’s growing dependence on technology
8 has made it increasingly vulnerable to both foreign and domestic
9 cyber security attacks. Thus far, there has been a fragmented
10 approach to this issue with independent efforts occurring through
11 federal, state, and local government, as well as in the state’s
12 universities and within private industry. For the purposes of public
13 safety and protection of public assets, the state has a role in
14 coordinating and improving its overall security and response
15 capabilities.

16 (b) The market for cyber security is estimated to be more than
17 seventy billion dollars (\$70,000,000,000) in 2014. Of that amount,
18 sixty-seven billion dollars (\$67,000,000,000) is estimated to be
19 spent nationally by private companies for computer and network
20 security and the United States Department of Defense is planning
21 to spend four billion six hundred million dollars (\$4,600,000,000).
22 The United States Department of Defense is planning on spending
23 twenty-three billion dollars (\$23,000,000,000) over the next five
24 years. Overall spending is expected to increase rapidly as
25 recognition of threats becomes more ubiquitous. The California
26 economy stands to greatly benefit from this industry growth.

27 (c) The State of California has already made investments for
28 the purpose of cyber security; examples of which are research
29 funding for the Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory and
30 funding to augment a cyber security assessment and response team
31 within the California National Guard.

32 (d) The California Cyber Security Task Force was initiated in
33 May 2013 for the purposes of identifying critical threats,
34 assembling primary stakeholders, and highlighting the growing
35 importance of the issue. Among other things, this has increased
36 awareness of the state’s compliance with the new federal National
37 Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) standards and the

1 Office of Emergency Services establishing Emergency Function
2 18, created particularly for cyber security.

3 (e) Over 50,000 new malicious online activities are identified
4 every day, according to the United States Department of Defense.
5 Incidents of sophisticated and well-coordinated attacks and data
6 breaches are occurring more regularly, the average cost of which
7 amounts to more than ten million dollars (\$10,000,000). In 2012,
8 a data breach to the state of South Carolina required more than
9 twenty million dollars (\$20,000,000) in response and restitution.
10 The State of California is vulnerable technically, legally, and
11 financially to these threats.

12 8305.1. (a) There is in the state government the California
13 Cyber Security Commission. The commission shall consist of the
14 following members:

15 (1) The Director of Emergency Services and his or her designee
16 with knowledge, expertise, and decisionmaking authority with
17 respect to the Office of Emergency Services's information
18 technology and information security. The director may designate
19 an individual to serve on his or her behalf if the individual has
20 knowledge, expertise, and decisionmaking authority with respect
21 to the Office of Emergency Services's information technology and
22 information security.

23 (2) The Adjutant General of the Military Department and his
24 or her designee with knowledge, expertise, and decision making
25 authority with respect to the Military Department's information
26 technology and information security. The Adjutant General may
27 designate an individual to serve on his or her behalf if the
28 individual has knowledge, expertise, and decisionmaking authority
29 with respect to the Military Department's information technology
30 and information security.

31 (3) The Director of Technology, or his or her designee to serve
32 on his or her behalf if the individual has knowledge, expertise, and
33 decisionmaking authority with respect to the Department of
34 Technology's information technology and information security.

35 (4) The Chief of the Office of Information Security, or his or
36 her designee to serve on his or her behalf if the individual has
37 knowledge, expertise, and decisionmaking authority with respect
38 to the office's information technology and information security.

39 (5) The Commission President of the Public Utilities
40 Commission, or his or her designee to serve on his or her behalf

1 if the individual has knowledge, expertise, and decisionmaking
2 authority with respect to the commission’s information technology
3 and information security.

4 (6) The Director of Transportation, or his or her designee to
5 serve on his or her behalf if the individual has knowledge,
6 expertise, and decisionmaking authority with respect to the
7 Department of Transportation’s information technology and
8 information security.

9 (7) The Insurance Commissioner, or his or her designee to serve
10 on his or her behalf if the individual has knowledge, expertise, and
11 decisionmaking authority with respect to the Department of
12 Insurance’s information technology and information security.

13 (8) The State Public Health Officer, or his or her designee to
14 serve on his or her behalf if the individual has knowledge,
15 expertise, and decisionmaking authority with respect to the State
16 Department of Public Health’s information technology and
17 information security.

18 (9) Four representatives appointed by the Governor who meet
19 the following requirements:

20 (A) A representative of the University of California who has
21 done research in the area of information technology and
22 information security.

23 (B) A representative of the California State University who has
24 done research in the area of information technology and
25 information security.

26 (C) A representative from a private university in California who
27 has done research in the area of information technology and
28 information security.

29 (D) A representative from the Lawrence Livermore National
30 Laboratory or Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory who has
31 done research in the area of information technology and
32 information security.

33 (10) Three representatives appointed by the Governor who meet
34 the following requirements:

35 (A) A representative from the Bureau of Investigations or the
36 Federal Bureau of Investigation who has knowledge, expertise,
37 and experience with enforcement or prosecution of cyber crimes.

38 (B) A representative from the Department of the California
39 Highway Patrol who has knowledge, expertise, and experience
40 with enforcement or prosecution of cyber crimes.

1 (C) A representative from the Department of Justice who has
2 knowledge, expertise, and experience with enforcement or
3 prosecution of cyber crimes.

4 (11) Three representatives from local government who have
5 knowledge, expertise, and experience with emergency response
6 to information security breaches. One representative shall be
7 appointed by the Governor, one representative shall be appointed
8 by the Speaker of the Assembly, and one representative shall be
9 appointed by the Senate Committee on Rules.

10 (12) Four representatives from the retail, finance, utilities, health
11 care, or technology industries who have knowledge, expertise, and
12 experience with information technology and information security.
13 Two representatives shall be appointed by the Governor, one
14 representative shall be appointed by the Speaker of the Assembly,
15 and one representative shall be appointed by the Senate Committee
16 on Rules.

17 (13) Two representatives who are chairpersons from committees
18 of the Assembly that address information technology and
19 information security, who shall be appointed by the Speaker of
20 the Assembly. These representatives shall serve as nonvoting
21 members in an advisory capacity.

22 (14) Two representatives who are chairpersons from committees
23 of the Senate that address information technology and information
24 security, who shall be appointed by the Senate Committee on
25 Rules. These representatives shall serve as nonvoting members in
26 an advisory capacity.

27 (b) The commission may also include two representatives from
28 the United States Department of Homeland Security who have
29 knowledge, expertise, and experience in the area of information
30 technology and information security, who serve in a voluntary
31 capacity and as nonvoting members.

32 (c) The Director of Emergency Services and the Director of
33 Technology, or their designees to serve on their behalves if those
34 individuals have knowledge, expertise, and experience with
35 information technology and information security, shall serve as
36 cochairs of the commission.

37 (d) Twenty members shall constitute a quorum for the
38 transaction of business, and all official acts of the commission
39 shall require the affirmative vote of a majority of its members
40 constituting a quorum.

1 (e) The members of the commission shall serve without
2 compensation, except that each member of the commission shall
3 be entitled to receive his or her actual necessary traveling expenses
4 while on official business of the commission.

5 8305.2. The commission shall meet monthly, commencing in
6 January 2015.

7 8305.3. (a) The commission shall focus on improving the
8 state’s cyber security and cyber response capabilities by developing
9 partnerships with the public and private sector as well as the
10 academic and nongovernmental world to share cyber security and
11 cyber threat information to enable state government to protect and
12 secure important information and data, intellectual property,
13 financial networks, and critical infrastructure.

14 (b) The duties of the commission shall include, but not be limited
15 to, the following:

16 (1) Working with the United States Department of Homeland
17 Security to define a system of information sharing regarding cyber
18 threat monitoring and response.

19 (2) Recommending minimum security standards for all state
20 agencies.

21 (3) Researching in conjunction with academia and others to
22 expand and improve state cyber security capability.

23 (4) Expanding public-private cyber security partnerships.

24 (5) Establishing cyber-attack response strategies and defining
25 a hierarchy of command within the state for this purpose.

26 (6) Providing training for state employees and others to produce
27 credentialed cyber security employees.

28 (7) Developing with the Department of Insurance a strategy to
29 acquire cyber insurance for state agencies and assets.

30 (8) Proposing potential governmental reorganization to enhance
31 the state’s cyber security and response capabilities.

32 (9) Exploring fiscal options to fund the commission and its
33 various activities, including the activities of some of its specific
34 members, including the California National Guard’s computer
35 network defense team (CND).

36 (c) The commission shall issue a report on a quarterly basis to
37 the Governor’s Office and the Legislature that details the cyber
38 security status and progress of the state and makes
39 recommendations on how to improve the cyber security of the

1 state. The reports shall be submitted in compliance with Section
2 9795.
3 8305.4. This chapter shall become inoperative on January 1,
4 2020, and shall be repealed as of that date.

O