

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 23, 2014

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 21, 2014

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 2, 2014

**SENATE BILL**

**No. 939**

---

---

**Introduced by Senator Block**  
**(Coauthors: Senators Anderson, Corbett, and Correa)**  
(Coauthor: Assembly Member Bonta)

February 3, 2014

---

---

An act to amend Section 784.7 of, and to repeal Section 784.8 of, the Penal Code, relating to criminal jurisdiction.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 939, as amended, Block. Criminal jurisdiction.

Existing law defines human trafficking as the deprivation of the personal liberty of another person with the intent to effect a violation of certain specified sex crimes, to obtain forced labor or services, or to cause a minor to engage in a commercial sex act with the intent to effect a violation of certain specified sex crimes. Existing law requires, when more than one violation of certain specified provisions of law occurs in more than one jurisdictional territory, that jurisdiction for any of those offenses is in any jurisdiction where at least one of the offenses occurred if all district attorneys in counties with jurisdiction of the offenses agree to the venue.

This bill would ~~include~~ *add* human trafficking, pimping, and pandering to the specified offenses to which the above jurisdictional requirements apply.

Existing law, when charges alleging multiple incidences of human trafficking that involve the same victim or victims in multiple territorial

jurisdictions are filed in one county, requires the court to hold a hearing to consider whether the matter should proceed in the county of filing or whether one or more counts should be severed and to consider specified factors in making this decision, including the location and complexity of the likely evidence and where the majority of the offenses occurred. Existing law requires the district attorney in the filing county to present evidence to the court that the district attorney in each county where any of the charges could have been filed has agreed that the matter should proceed in the county of filing.

This bill would ~~delete~~ *reorganize* these provisions.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no.  
 State-mandated local program: no.

*The people of the State of California do enact as follows:*

1 SECTION 1. Section 784.7 of the Penal Code is amended to  
 2 read:  
 3 784.7. (a) If more than one violation of Section 220, except  
 4 assault with intent to commit mayhem, 261, 262, 264.1, 269, 286,  
 5 288, 288a, 288.5, or 289 occurs in more than one jurisdictional  
 6 territory, the jurisdiction of any of those offenses, and for any  
 7 offenses properly joinable with that offense, is in any jurisdiction  
 8 where at least one of the offenses occurred, subject to a hearing,  
 9 pursuant to Section 954, within the jurisdiction of the proposed  
 10 trial. At the Section 954 hearing, the prosecution shall present  
 11 written evidence that all district attorneys in counties with  
 12 jurisdiction of the offenses agree to the venue. Charged offenses  
 13 from jurisdictions where there is no written agreement from the  
 14 district attorney shall be returned to that jurisdiction.  
 15 (b) If more than one violation of Section 273a, 273.5, or 646.9  
 16 occurs in more than one jurisdictional territory, and the defendant  
 17 and the victim are the same for all of the offenses, the jurisdiction  
 18 of any of those offenses and for any offenses properly joinable  
 19 with that offense, is in any jurisdiction where at least one of the  
 20 offenses occurred.  
 21 (c) If more than one violation of Section 236.1, 266h, or 266i  
 22 occurs in more than one jurisdictional territory, the jurisdiction of  
 23 any of those offenses, and for any offenses properly joinable with  
 24 that offense, is in any jurisdiction where at least one of the offenses  
 25 occurred, subject to a hearing pursuant to Section 954, within the

1 jurisdiction of the proposed trial. At the Section 954 hearing, the  
2 prosecution shall present written evidence that all district attorneys  
3 in counties with jurisdiction of the offenses agree to the venue.  
4 Charged offenses from jurisdictions where there is no written  
5 agreement from the district attorney shall be returned to that  
6 jurisdiction. *In determining whether all counts in the complaint*  
7 *should be joined in one county for prosecution, the court shall*  
8 *consider the location and complexity of the likely evidence, where*  
9 *the majority of the offenses occurred, the rights of the defendant*  
10 *and the people, and the convenience of, or hardship to, the victim*  
11 *or victims and witnesses.*

12 SEC. 2. Section 784.8 of the Penal Code is repealed.