

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 49

Introduced by Assembly Member Mullin

December 1, 2014

An act relating to livestock drugs.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 49, as introduced, Mullin. Livestock drugs: antibiotics.

Under existing law, the Department of Food and Agriculture is responsible for enforcing provisions relating to the importation of animals, milk and milk products, produce dealers, and other agricultural regulations. Existing law requires the Secretary of Food and Agriculture to make and enforce provisions relating to the manufacture, sale, and use of livestock drugs.

This bill would make various legislative findings and declarations relating to the nontherapeutic use of antibiotics in livestock, and would declare the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation that would address the overuse of antibiotics in livestock production.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the
- 2 following:
- 3 (a) In 1977, the United States Food and Drug Administration
- 4 (FDA) concluded that feeding livestock low doses of antibiotics
- 5 from antibiotic classes that are used in human disease treatment
- 6 could promote the development of antibiotic-resistance in bacteria

1 and pose a risk to human health. The FDA, however, did not act
2 in response to these findings, despite laws requiring the agency to
3 do so.

4 (b) The FDA issued voluntary guidance in December 2013 on
5 the nontherapeutic use of antibiotics; however, this guidance is
6 unlikely to significantly reduce the nontherapeutic use of antibiotics
7 in livestock because of a broad exemption allowing for the use of
8 antibiotics for disease prevention.

9 (c) Not only do antibiotic-resistant bacteria affect the health of
10 our society, but they also have a monetary impact. In 1998, the
11 National Academy of Sciences noted that antibiotic-resistant
12 bacteria generate a minimum of four to five billion dollars in costs
13 to United States society and individuals every year. In 2009, in a
14 study funded by the federal Centers for Disease Control and
15 Prevention, Cook County Hospital and Alliance for Prudent Use
16 of Antibiotics estimated that the total health care cost of
17 antibiotic-resistant infections in the United States was between
18 \$16.6 billion and \$26 billion annually. Societal costs from lost
19 productivity due to illnesses were estimated to be an additional
20 \$35 billion.

21 (d) In April 1999, the United States Government Accountability
22 Office conducted a study concluding that three strains of
23 microorganisms that cause foodborne illnesses or disease in humans
24 are resistant to antibiotics and are linked to the use of antibiotics
25 in animals. These microorganisms that cause foodborne illnesses
26 or disease in humans are resistant to antibiotics and are linked to
27 the use of antibiotics in animals. These microorganisms are
28 salmonella, campylobacter, and E. Coli.

29 (e) In 1999, 2006, and 2011, the United States Department of
30 Agriculture's Animal and Plant Health Inspection Service
31 conducted large-scale, voluntary surveys that revealed all of the
32 following:

33 (1) Eighty-four percent of grower and finisher swine farms, 83
34 percent of cattle feedlots, and 84 percent of sheep farms administer
35 antimicrobials in feed or water for either health or growth
36 promotion reasons.

37 (2) Many of the antimicrobials that were identified were
38 identical or closely related to drugs used in human medicine,
39 including tetracyclines, macrolides, bactricin, penicillins, and
40 sulfonamides.

1 (3) These drugs are used in people to treat serious diseases,
2 such as pneumonia, scarlet fever, rheumatic fever, sexually
3 transmitted infections, and skin infections; pandemics such as
4 malaria and plague; and bioterrorism agents such as anthrax.

5 (f) In June 2002, the peer-reviewed journal, “Clinical Infectious
6 Diseases,” published a report based on a two-year review, by
7 experts in human and veterinary medicine, public health,
8 microbiology, biostatistics, and risk analysis, of more than 500
9 scientific studies on the human health impacts of antimicrobial
10 use in agriculture. The report recommended that antimicrobial
11 agents should not be used in agriculture in the absence of disease
12 and should be limited to therapy for diseased individual animals
13 or prophylaxis when disease is documented in a herd or flock.

14 (g) In a March 2003 report, the National Academy of Sciences
15 stated that a decrease in antimicrobial use in human medicine alone
16 will have little effect on the rise in antibiotic-resistant bacteria and
17 that substantial efforts must be made to decrease the inappropriate
18 overuse of antimicrobials in animals and agriculture.

19 (h) In 2010, the peer-reviewed journal, “Molecular Cell,”
20 published a study demonstrating that a low-dosage use of
21 antibiotics causes a dramatic increase in genetic mutation, raising
22 new concerns about the agricultural practice of using low-dosage
23 antibiotics in order to stimulate growth promotion and routinely
24 prevent disease in unhealthy conditions.

25 (i) In 2010, the Danish Veterinary and Food Administration
26 testified that the Danish ban of the nontherapeutic use of antibiotics
27 in food animal production resulted in a marked reduction in
28 antimicrobial resistance in multiple bacterial species, including
29 *Campylobacter* and *Enterococci*.

30 (j) In 2011, the FDA found that in 2010:

31 (1) Thirteen million five hundred thousand kilograms of
32 antibacterial drugs were sold for use on food animals in the United
33 States.

34 (2) Three million three hundred thousand kilograms of
35 antibacterial drugs were used for human health.

36 (3) Eighty percent of antibacterial drugs, and over 70 percent
37 of medically important antibacterial drugs, disseminated in the
38 United States were sold for use on food-producing animals, rather
39 than being used for human health.

1 (k) In 2011, a review of all scientific studies on antimicrobial
2 use in farm animals, published in *Clinical Microbiology Reviews*,
3 found the following:

4 (1) That the use of antibiotics in food-producing animals leads
5 to the development of reservoirs of antibiotic resistance, that
6 antibiotic-resistant bacteria can spread through food, water, air,
7 soil, and meat-industry workers, and that bacteria can share
8 resistance genes with each other.

9 (2) A ban on nontherapeutic antibiotic use in food-producing
10 animals would preserve the use of antibiotics for medicine.

11 (3) A Danish ban on nontherapeutic antibiotics in
12 food-producing animals resulted in little change in animal
13 morbidity and mortality, and only a modest increase in production
14 cost.

15 (l) The federal Centers for Disease Control and Prevention
16 (CDC) concluded in a recent report, “Antibiotic Resistance Threats
17 in the United States, 2013,” that overuse or misuse of antibiotics
18 contributes to the spread of antibiotic resistance, whether in human
19 medicine or in agriculture. The CDC estimated that antibiotic
20 resistance causes at least 23,000 deaths and two million illnesses
21 every year.

22 (m) In 2013, the peer-reviewed journal, “The Journal of the
23 American Medical Association,” published a study showing higher
24 levels of antibiotic-resistant skin and soft-tissue infections in people
25 living in proximity to hog farms or fields treated with swine manure
26 in Pennsylvania. Similarly, in 2014, the peer-reviewed journal,
27 “Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology,” published a study
28 focused on hospitalized veterans in rural areas of Iowa, finding
29 that people living in close proximity to a swine-feeding operation
30 were nearly three times as likely to have been affected by
31 methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) at the time
32 of admission to the hospital.

33 (n) The FDA’s National Antimicrobial Resistance Monitoring
34 System routinely finds that retail meat products are contaminated
35 with bacteria that are resistant to antibiotics that are important to
36 human medicine.

37 (o) According to the American Academy of Pediatrics, “the
38 largest nonhuman use of antimicrobial agents is in food-producing
39 animal production, and most of this is in healthy animals to increase
40 growth or prevent diseases. Evidence now exists that these uses

1 of antimicrobial agents in food-producing animals have a direct
2 negative impact on human health and multiple impacts on the
3 selection and dissemination of resistance genes in animals and the
4 environment. Children are at increased risk of acquiring many of
5 these infections with resistant bacteria and are at great risk of
6 severe complications if they become infected.”

7 (p) Many scientific studies confirm that the nontherapeutic use
8 of antibiotics in food-producing animals contributes to the
9 development of antibiotic-resistant bacterial infections in people.

10 (q) The spread of antibiotic-resistant bacteria poses a risk to the
11 health of Californians and reduced use of antibiotics for livestock
12 production is likely to reduce the risks of the rise and spread of
13 antibiotic-resistant bacteria through food and other pathways, thus
14 reducing the risk to Californians.

15 SEC. 2. It is the intent of the Legislature to enact legislation
16 that would address the overuse of antibiotics in livestock
17 production.