

AMENDED IN SENATE SEPTEMBER 4, 2015

AMENDED IN SENATE SEPTEMBER 1, 2015

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 21, 2015

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 13, 2015

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 21, 2015

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 6, 2015

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2015–16 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 50

Introduced by Assembly Member Mullin

December 1, 2014

An act to add Section 14148.25 to the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to perinatal care.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 50, as amended, Mullin. Medi-Cal: evidence-based home visiting programs.

Existing law provides for the Medi-Cal program, which is administered by the State Department of Health Care Services, under which qualified low-income individuals receive health care services, including perinatal services for pregnant women.

Existing law establishes the Nurse-Family Partnership program, which is administered by the State Department of Public Health, to provide grants for voluntary nurse home visiting programs for expectant first-time mothers, their children, and their families. Under existing law, a county is required to satisfy specified requirements in order to be eligible to receive a grant.

This bill would require the State Department of Health Care Services, in consultation with specified stakeholders, to develop a *feasibility* plan on or before January 1, 2017, ~~to determine the feasibility of that describes the costs, benefits, and any potential barriers related to~~ offering evidence-based home visiting programs to Medi-Cal eligible pregnant and parenting women. The bill would also require the department, in developing the plan, to consider, among other things, establishing Medi-Cal coverage for evidence-based home visiting program services and incentives for Medi-Cal providers to offer those services, and would require the department, in developing the plan, to prioritize the identification of funding sources, other than General Fund moneys, to fund evidence-based home visiting program services.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the
- 2 following:
- 3 (a) According to United States Census Bureau, California has
- 4 a poverty rate of 23.5 percent, the highest rate of any state in the
- 5 country.
- 6 (b) Children born into poverty are at higher risk of health and
- 7 developmental disparities, including, but not limited to, premature
- 8 birth, low birth weight, infant mortality, crime, domestic violence,
- 9 developmental delays, dropping out of high school, substance
- 10 abuse, unemployment, and child abuse and neglect.
- 11 (c) In 2014, the Legislature passed Assembly Concurrent
- 12 Resolution No. 155 by Assembly Member Raul Bocanegra,
- 13 recognizing that research over the last two decades in the evolving
- 14 fields of neuroscience, molecular biology, public health, genomics,
- 15 and epigenetics reveals that experiences in the first few years of
- 16 life build changes into the biology of the human body that, in turn,
- 17 influence the person's physical and mental health over his or her
- 18 lifetime.
- 19 (d) On May 3, 2012, Governor Edmund G. Brown Jr. issued
- 20 Executive Order B-19-12, establishing the "Let's Get Healthy
- 21 California Task Force" to develop a 10-year plan for improving
- 22 the health of Californians, controlling health care costs, promoting

1 personal responsibility for individual health, and advancing health
2 equity.

3 (e) The task force identified several priorities, including a subset
4 for “Healthy Beginnings,” which include reducing infant deaths,
5 increasing vaccination rates, reducing childhood trauma, and
6 reducing adolescent tobacco use.

7 (f) The final report of the task force states, “the challenge going
8 forward is to identify evidence-based interventions and quicken
9 the pace of uptake across the state” in order to meet the ambitious
10 goals in the Governor’s directive.

11 (g) Voluntary evidence-based home visiting programs, such as
12 Nurse-Family Partnership, Healthy Families America, Early Head
13 Start (Home-Based Program Option), Parents as Teachers, and
14 Home Instruction for Parents of Preschool Youngsters, strengthen
15 the critical parent-child relationship and connect families with
16 information and resources during the pivotal time from pregnancy
17 to five years of age. Extensive research has shown that
18 evidence-based home visiting programs serving pregnant and
19 parenting mothers, prenatal to the child turning five years of age,
20 increase family self-sufficiency, positive parenting practices, child
21 literacy and school readiness, and maternal and child health.

22 (h) Voluntary evidence-based home visiting program models
23 *focused on the prenatal period* to five years of age range from low
24 to high intensity, reflecting the broad spectrum of family needs
25 that home visiting can impact. Many experts hail home visiting
26 program diversity as essential to providing parents with choices
27 and ensuring that programs are well matched with local needs and
28 strengths, as well as responsive to the diverse needs of California’s
29 children and families.

30 (i) In 2013, more than 248,000 Medi-Cal beneficiaries gave
31 birth to a child. Because Medi-Cal covers half of all births in the
32 state, this has increased costs for taxpayers. Medi-Cal expansion
33 has resulted in an 18 percent increase in Medi-Cal enrollment to
34 a total of 11.3 million, and enrollment is expected to exceed 12
35 million in 2015.

36 (j) The California Health and Human Services Agency recently
37 submitted its State Health Care Innovation Plan, including the
38 Maternity Care initiative, which addresses issues of high costs in
39 maternity care, to the federal Center for Medicare and Medicaid
40 Innovation. Child deliveries and related expenses, including

1 high-risk births, rank among the top 10 high-cost episodes of health
2 care, and in the last 15 years, California has seen a continual rise
3 in maternal mortality.

4 (k) The cost of health care specifically related to high-risk
5 pregnancies, neonatal intensive-care unit (NICU) services, toxic
6 stress, and emergency room visits has increased and is projected
7 to continue to rise. Average health care costs for women were 25
8 percent more than men primarily due to higher costs of health care
9 during childbearing years.

10 (l) With more than three decades of evidence from randomized,
11 controlled trials and rigorous followup evaluation studies,
12 evidence-based home visiting programs have demonstrated
13 sustained improvements in maternal health, child health, positive
14 parenting practices, child development and school readiness,
15 reductions in child maltreatment, family economic self-sufficiency,
16 linkages and referrals, and reductions in family violence.

17 (m) Evidence-based home visiting programs have specifically
18 demonstrated reductions in preterm births, preventable maternal
19 mortality, smoking during pregnancy, complications of pregnancy,
20 closely spaced subsequent births, childhood injuries resulting in
21 costly emergency department use and hospitalizations, improved
22 childhood immunization rates, compliance with well child visit
23 schedules, lower body mass index rates, higher birth weights, and
24 improved family well-being, including increased family health
25 literacy, and parent self-help development. As a result of families
26 benefiting from evidence-based home visiting, there have been
27 cost savings to federal, state, and local governments with respect
28 to programs and services, including Medicaid, the Supplemental
29 Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP), and the Temporary
30 Assistance for Needy Families (TANF) program.

31 (n) The strong evidence of effectiveness and predictable return
32 on investment demonstrate that evidence-based home visiting
33 programs should be brought to scale in California to improve
34 maternal and child health outcomes and help reduce health care
35 costs for generations to come.

36 (o) By supporting families from the start, voluntary
37 evidence-based home visiting programs serving families from
38 prenatal to five years of age provide a foundation for subsequent
39 early childhood programs and family support efforts to build upon,
40 and can help ensure that families are well-equipped to raise

1 California's next generation of productive, healthy, and successful
2 adults.

3 (p) Therefore, it is the intent of the Legislature to develop a
4 means to leverage public and private dollars to substantially expand
5 the scale of evidence-based home visiting programs throughout
6 California, beginning with communities and populations with the
7 greatest need.

8 SEC. 2. Section 14148.25 is added to the Health and Safety
9 Code, to read:

10 14148.25. (a) The department shall, in consultation with
11 stakeholders, including, but not limited to, representatives from
12 Medi-Cal managed care plans, public and private hospitals,
13 evidence-based home visiting programs, and ~~local governments;~~
14 *other governmental entities including local and state law*
15 *enforcement and corrections agencies, local and state social*
16 *services agencies, and local and state educational agencies,*
17 develop a *feasibility* plan on or before January 1, 2017, ~~to~~
18 ~~determine the feasibility of that describes the costs, benefits, and~~
19 *any potential barriers related to offering evidence-based home*
20 *visiting programs to Medi-Cal eligible pregnant and parenting*
21 *women. The department shall consult with stakeholders from*
22 *diverse geographical regions of the state. The department shall*
23 *consider all of the following in developing the plan:*

24 (1) Establishing Medi-Cal coverage for evidence-based home
25 visiting program services.

26 (2) Incentives for Medi-Cal providers to offer evidence-based
27 home visiting program services.

28 (3) Other mechanisms to fund evidence-based home visiting
29 program services for Medi-Cal eligible pregnant and parenting
30 women.

31 (4) Identifying among evidence-based home visiting programs
32 those with established evidence to improve health outcomes, the
33 experience of care, and cost savings to the health care system.

34 (b) In developing the plan, the department shall prioritize the
35 identification of funding sources, other than General Fund moneys,
36 to fund evidence-based home visiting program services, including
37 local, federal, or private funds, or any other funds made available
38 for these program services.

39 (c) For the purposes of this section, the following definitions
40 shall apply:

(1) “Evidence-based program” means a program that is based on scientific evidence demonstrating that the program model is effective. An evidence-based program shall be reviewed on site and compared to program model standards by the model developer or the developer’s designee at least every five years to ensure that the program continues to maintain fidelity with the program model. The program model shall have had demonstrated and replicated significant and sustained positive outcomes that have been in one or more well-designed and rigorous randomized controlled research designs, and the evaluation results shall have been published in a peer-reviewed journal.

(2) “Evidence-based home visiting program” means a program or initiative that does all of the following:

(A) Meets, on or before April 1, 2015, the United States Department of Health and Human Services Maternal, Infant, and Early Childhood Home Visiting (MIECHV) criteria, as described in Section 511(d)(3)(A)(i)(I) of Title V of the Social Security Act (42 U.S.C. Sec. 711).

(B) Contains home visiting as a primary service delivery strategy by providers satisfying home visiting program requirements to provide services to families with a pregnant or parenting woman who is eligible for medical assistance.

(C) Offers services on a voluntary basis to pregnant women, expectant fathers, and parents and caregivers of children from prenatal to five years of age.

(D) Targets participant outcomes that include all of the following:

(i) Improved maternal and child health.

(ii) Prevention of child injuries, child abuse or maltreatment, and reduction of emergency department visits.

(iii) Improvements in school readiness and achievement.

(iv) Reduction in crime or domestic violence.

(v) Improvements in family economic self-sufficiency.

(vi) Improvements in coordination of, and referrals to, other community resources and support.

(vii) Improvements in parenting skills related to child development.