

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 300

Introduced by Assembly Member Alejo
(Coauthor: Assembly Member Mark Stone)
(Coauthor: Senator Monning)

February 12, 2015

An act to add Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 31420) to Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, relating to coastal wildlife protection.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 300, as introduced, Alejo. Safe Water and Wildlife Protection Act of 2015.

Existing law establishes the State Coastal Conservancy, and prescribes the membership, and functions and duties of the conservancy with respect to preservation of coastal resources in the state.

This bill would enact the Safe Water and Wildlife Protection Act of 2015, which would require the conservancy to establish and coordinate the Algal Bloom Task Force, in consultation with the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency, and would prescribe the composition and functions and duties of the task force. The bill would require the task force to review the risks and negative impacts of toxic blooms and microcystin pollution and to submit a summary of its findings and recommendations to the secretary by January 1, 2017. The act would authorize the conservancy, the Department of Fish and Wildlife, the Wildlife Conservation Board, and the State Water Resources Control Board to enter into contracts and provide grants from specified bond funds available under the Water Quality, Supply, and Infrastructure Improvement Act of 2014 for applied research, projects, and programs,

recommended by the task force, aimed at preventing or sustainably mitigating toxic blooms of cyanotoxins and microcystin pollution in the waters of the state.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
 State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the
- 2 following:
- 3 (a) Toxic blooms of cyanobacteria in the waters of the state,
- 4 including, but not limited to, coastal lakes, estuaries, rivers and
- 5 streams, wetlands, and inland lakes and reservoirs, represent a
- 6 threat to human health, endangered wildlife, and recreational
- 7 activities.
- 8 (b) Cyanobacteria are widespread bacteria that are capable of
- 9 forming toxic blooms and super-blooms in the waters of the state.
- 10 (c) Degradation of watersheds, nutrient loading, increased water
- 11 diversions, and climate change have been linked to the global
- 12 expansion of cyanobacterial blooms, with high toxin production
- 13 noted regularly in lakes, rivers, and other waters of the state.
- 14 (d) The state’s waters are especially prone to toxic
- 15 cyanobacterial blooms due to our warm climate, numerous water
- 16 diversions, and stressed waterways.
- 17 (e) Cyanobacteria produce toxic microcystins and other toxins.
- 18 Due to their high toxicity, microcystins are a regulated pollutant
- 19 under federal law. Other cyanobacterial toxins, such as antitoxin-a,
- 20 are also present in California’s waters, but, at present, little is
- 21 known about these toxins.
- 22 (f) Microcystin and other cyanotoxins are poisonous to humans,
- 23 pets, livestock, birds, and other wildlife via ingestion, inhalation,
- 24 or skin exposure. A single dose of microcystin can cause prolonged
- 25 toxicity by cycling repeatedly between the liver and intestines.
- 26 (g) Blooms of microcystins and other toxic cyanobacteria are
- 27 occurring in waters throughout California, and are threatening our
- 28 water supply and health. Areas with recurrent and worsening
- 29 microcystin pollution include the Klamath and Sacramento Rivers,
- 30 the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers (from the Sacramento
- 31 Delta to San Francisco Bay), and Clear Lake. Pinto Lake, Copco
- 32 Lake, Iron Gate Reservoir, and three segments of the Klamath

1 River have been listed as impaired due to cyanobacteria. Bird
2 deaths attributed to microcystins have also been reported from the
3 Salton Sea.

4 (h) The Pinto Lake watershed is being evaluated for total
5 maximum daily load (TMDL) regulation for microcystin, and is
6 recognized as a state and national cyanobacteria “hotspot,” and
7 was considered for remediation as an Environmental Protection
8 Agency “superfund” site.

9 (i) California’s southern sea otters, a state and federally listed
10 threatened species, have died from microcystin poisoning. The
11 source of sea otter exposure appears to be
12 microcystin-contaminated freshwater runoff or mussels, or both,
13 or clams or crabs that concentrate microcystin after being exposed
14 to contaminated freshwater runoff.

15 (j) Sea otters and humans eat some of the same marine foods
16 that can concentrate microcystin in body tissues; hence, food safety
17 is a concern for public health. Freshwater and marine fish and
18 shellfish have not been routinely tested for cyanotoxins in
19 California and limited diagnostic testing is available.

20 (k) A “One Health” approach, that considers human, animal,
21 and environmental health, is appropriate to assess impacts and
22 develop comprehensive strategies to prevent microcystin pollution
23 in the waters of the state. This represents a multidisciplinary
24 approach that considers linkages between human, animal, and
25 environmental health.

26 (l) The state needs a coordinated multiagency effort to develop
27 actions and projects that will prevent or mitigate toxic blooms and
28 associated toxin pollution.

29 SEC. 2. Chapter 10 (commencing with Section 31420) is added
30 to Division 21 of the Public Resources Code, to read:

31

32 CHAPTER 10. SAFE WATER AND WILDLIFE PROTECTION ACT
33 OF 2015
34

35 31420. This chapter shall be known, and may be cited, as the
36 Safe Water and Wildlife Protection Act of 2015.

37 31421. For purposes of this chapter, the following terms have
38 the following meanings:

1 (a) “One-health approach” means a method of assessment that
2 considers the linked impacts of toxic algal blooms on humans,
3 animals, the ecosystem, and water quality.

4 (b) “Task Force” means the Algal Bloom Task Force created
5 pursuant to Section 31422.

6 (c) “Waters of the state” means any surface water in the state
7 including, but not limited to, coastal lakes, lagoons and estuaries,
8 rivers, streams, inland lakes and reservoirs, and wetlands.

9 31422. The conservancy shall establish and coordinate the
10 Algal Bloom Task Force, comprised of a representative of each
11 of the State Department of Public Health, the Department of Fish
12 and Wildlife, the State Water Resources Control Board, and other
13 relevant agency representatives, to be determined by the executive
14 officer of the conservancy in consultation with the Secretary of
15 the Natural Resources Agency.

16 31423. The functions and duties of the task force include all
17 of the following:

18 (a) Assess and prioritize the actions and research necessary to
19 develop measures that prevent or sustainably mitigate toxic algal
20 blooms in the waters of the state. The assessment shall apply a
21 one-health approach that considers the linked impacts of toxic
22 algal blooms and cyanotoxins on human and animal health, as well
23 as in the context of ecosystem health and water quality.

24 (b) Solicit and review proposals from universities, local
25 governments, and nonprofit organizations for applied research,
26 projects, and programs that contribute to development of strategies
27 or implementation of activities that prevent or sustainably mitigate
28 toxic blooms of cyanotoxins and microcystin pollution in the waters
29 of the state.

30 (c) Provide funding recommendations to the executive officer
31 of the conservancy and to the Department of Fish and Wildlife,
32 the Wildlife Conservation Board, and the State Water Resources
33 Control Board for those proposals for applied research, projects,
34 and programs, described in subdivision (b), that the task force
35 determines will contribute to the development of prevention
36 strategies and sustainable mitigation actions to address toxic
37 blooms of cyanotoxins and microcystin pollution in waters of the
38 state.

39 (d) Review the risks and negative impacts of toxic algae blooms
40 and microcystin pollution on humans, wildlife, fisheries, and

1 aquatic ecosystems, and develop recommendations for prevention
2 and long-term mitigation. The task force shall submit a summary
3 of its findings based on the review, including its recommendations
4 to the Secretary of the Natural Resources Agency on or before
5 January 1, 2017. The recommendations shall provide guidance on
6 what type of programs or state resources will be required to prevent
7 damaging toxic algal blooms and microcystin pollution in the
8 waters of the state over time.

9 (e) Organize meetings and workshops of experts and
10 stakeholders as needed to implement this section.

11 31424. The conservancy, the Department of Fish and Wildlife,
12 the Wildlife Conservation Board, and the State Water Resources
13 Control Board, or any of them, may enter into contracts and provide
14 grants from funds available pursuant to Section 79730 of the Water
15 Code for applied research, projects, and programs recommended
16 by the task force pursuant to subdivision (c) of Section 31423.