

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 31, 2016

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 6, 2016

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2015–16 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 2424

Introduced by Assembly Member Gomez

February 19, 2016

An act to add Part 8 (commencing with Section 106050) to Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code, relating to public health.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 2424, as amended, Gomez. Community-based Health Improvement and Innovation Fund.

Existing law establishes the State Department of Public Health, within the California Health and Human Services Agency, vested with certain duties, powers, functions, jurisdiction, and responsibilities over specified public health programs.

This bill, among other things, would create the Community-based Health Improvement and Innovation Fund in the State ~~Treasury~~ *Treasury*, and the moneys in the fund would be available, upon appropriation by the Legislature, for certain purposes, including, but not limited to, reducing health inequity and disparities in the rates and outcomes of priority chronic health conditions, as defined, preventing the onset of priority chronic health conditions using community-based strategies in communities statewide and with particular focus on health equity priority populations, as defined, and strengthening local and regional collaborations between local public health jurisdictions and health care providers, and across government agencies and community partners to create healthier communities, using a ~~health in all policies~~

health-in-all-policies approach. The department would be required to use a specified percentage of moneys from the fund for certain public health and administrative activities and would be required to award a specified percentage of moneys from the fund to eligible applicants to be used to improve health and health equity, as provided.

This bill would create an advisory committee, with the members serving terms not to exceed 4 years, and would require the advisory committee to provide expert input and offer guidance to the department on the development, implementation, and evaluation of the fund. *The bill would require the department to develop an evaluation framework, as specified, for all activities funded through the fund.* The bill would require the advisory committee to produce, and periodically revise, a comprehensive master plan for advancing chronic disease and injury prevention throughout the state and would require the advisory committee to submit the master plan and its revisions to the Legislature triennially.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
 State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Part 8 (commencing with Section 106050) is
 2 added to Division 103 of the Health and Safety Code, to read:

3
 4 PART 8. COMMUNITY-BASED HEALTH IMPROVEMENT
 5 AND INNOVATION FUND
 6

7 106050. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

8 (a) Over the past century, chronic diseases have emerged as a
 9 predominant challenge to public health. Chronic disease and injury
 10 account for eight of every 10 deaths and affects the quality of life
 11 of 14 million Californians.

12 (b) Obesity and diabetes in particular have grown rapidly, posing
 13 a grave threat to health. Today over one-half of California adults
 14 are estimated to have either diabetes or prediabetes. Thirteen
 15 million adults in California, 46 percent of the adult population, are
 16 estimated to have prediabetes or undiagnosed diabetes, while
 17 another 2.5 million adults, 9 percent of the adult population, have
 18 already been diagnosed with diabetes.

19 (c) The health inequities in this state are stark:

1 (1) Ethnic minorities and individuals who have low incomes
2 have higher rates of diabetes. Nearly one-in-five
3 ~~African-Americans~~ *African Americans* and Latinos in California
4 have diabetes, more than double the rate of Whites.

5 (2) Nearly 12 years of life separate the life expectancy at the
6 top and at the bottom of neighborhood clusters in California, from
7 a life expectancy of 87 years in parts of northwest Santa Clara
8 County to 75.3 years in the City of ~~Twenty-Nine~~ *Twentynine* Palms
9 and the City of Barstow in the County of San Bernardino.

10 (3) Economically disadvantaged children are far less likely to
11 complete the fitness requirements of the physical fitness test offered
12 to students in grade school.

13 (4) Adolescents covered by Medi-Cal reported significantly
14 higher rates of drinking sugar-sweetened beverages and less daily
15 consumption of vegetables than the general California adolescent
16 population and were significantly more likely to be obese.

17 (d) The following short list of risk factors is responsible for
18 much of the burden of chronic disease: tobacco use, physical
19 inactivity, unhealthful diet, excessive consumption of alcohol,
20 hyperlipidemia, and uncontrolled high blood pressure. These risk
21 factors and chronic conditions are largely preventable and
22 inequitably distributed.

23 (e) The State Department of Public Health estimates that as
24 much as 80 percent of heart disease, stroke, and type II diabetes
25 and more than 30 percent of cancers can be prevented by
26 eliminating the underlying risk factors.

27 (f) The economic burden of chronic disease in California weighs
28 heavily on families, employers, and all levels of government.
29 Approximately \$98 billion, or 42 percent of all health care
30 expenditures in the state, was spent on treating just six common
31 chronic health conditions (arthritis, asthma, cardiovascular disease,
32 diabetes, cancer, and depression) in 2010.

33 (g) The indirect costs associated with chronic disease in
34 California are also high. According to the Economic Burden of
35 Chronic Disease (EBCD) Index, the projected impact of lower
36 productivity and lost workdays for individuals with chronic
37 conditions and their caregiving family members in California was
38 estimated to be \$51 billion in 2010.

1 (h) The cost of health care continues to surpass the rate of
2 inflation, causing increasing strain on the budgets of families,
3 employers, and the government.

4 (i) Despite the fact that chronic disease results in decreased
5 quality of life, premature death, and exorbitant medical costs,
6 investments in measures that prevent chronic disease have been
7 minimal.

8 (j) The United States spends only 2.6 percent of health care
9 dollars on all public health, yet 75 percent of health care costs are
10 attributable to preventable health conditions.

11 (k) Paying for prevention works and upstream strategies have
12 a remarkable history of success, measured in both cost avoidance
13 and health improvement. In the County of Los Angeles, smoking
14 amongst high school students fell from 27 percent to 7 percent
15 between 1997 and 2013, thanks to investment in policy and
16 environmental changes as well as education.

17 (l) Childhood obesity amongst Los Angeles Unified School
18 District 5th graders decreased by 10.6 percent (from 31.2 percent
19 to 27.9 percent) between 2010 and 2013, and leveled off among
20 7th and 9th graders, after nine years of steady increases, reflecting
21 investments to reduce the consumption of ~~sugar-sweetened~~
22 *sugar-sweetened* beverages, promote healthier eating, and increase
23 physical activity.

24 (m) The California Health and Human Services Agency, in
25 partnership with the State Department of Public Health, has defined
26 ambitious health improvement goals for the state through the “Let’s
27 Get Healthy California” initiative, including making California
28 the healthiest state in the nation by 2022, reducing health
29 disparities, and achieving better health at lower cost. These goals
30 cannot be met by improvements in health care or on an individual
31 basis alone. Meeting these goals requires urgent and substantial
32 investment in community-based prevention of chronic disease.

33 (n) The existing limited resources of funding for chronic disease
34 prevention are threatened, declining from past levels, and subject
35 to significant restrictions.

36 (o) Strategic investment in upstream prevention will protect,
37 not deplete, the coffers of government. Investment in prevention
38 has a strong evidence base of positive return on investment through
39 reducing health care costs on a long-term basis.

1 106051. For purposes of this part, the following terms have
2 the following definitions:

3 (a) “Department” means the State Department of Public Health.

4 (b) “Fund” means the Community-based Health Improvement
5 and Innovation Fund.

6 (c) “Health equity priority population” means, for each
7 condition, populations that exhibit significant disparities with
8 respect to prevalence of a priority chronic health condition or injury
9 or worse outcomes such as higher hospitalization or death rates.
10 Priority populations may be defined based on race, ethnicity,
11 geography, socioeconomic—~~status~~ *status*, including income or
12 education, other factors as defined by the department, or current
13 findings and recommendations of research, including assessments
14 of innovations funded by the fund.

15 (d) “Local health jurisdiction” means *a* county health
16 department or *a* combined health department in the case of counties
17 acting jointly or *a* city health department within the meaning of
18 Section 101185.

19 (e) “Priority chronic health conditions” means asthma, type II
20 diabetes, cardiovascular and cerebrovascular disease, cancer, dental
21 disease, obesity, and other chronic conditions and injuries that are
22 prevalent, largely preventable, and associated with high health
23 care costs, as defined by the department. High-burden conditions
24 whose prevention is not adequately supported by other funding
25 streams shall be prioritized.

26 106052. (a) (1) There is hereby created in the State Treasury
27 the Community-based Health Improvement and Innovation Fund.
28 The fund shall consist of any revenues deposited therein, including
29 any fine or penalty revenue allocated to the fund, any revenue from
30 appropriations specifically designated to be credited to the fund,
31 any funds from public or private gifts, grants, or donations, any
32 interest earned on that revenue, and any funds provided from any
33 other source.

34 (2) A target level of annual statewide investment from the fund
35 shall be established as a set dollar amount per capita, to be allocated
36 for the purposes described in subdivision (b) and as described in
37 ~~subdivision (e)~~. *Section 106053*.

38 (b) (1) Moneys in the fund shall be available, upon
39 appropriation by the Legislature, for any of the following purposes:

1 (A) Reducing health inequity and disparities in the rates and
2 outcomes of priority chronic health conditions.

3 (B) Preventing the onset of priority chronic health conditions
4 using community-based strategies in communities statewide and
5 with particular focus on health equity priority populations.

6 (C) Strengthening local and regional collaborations between
7 local public health jurisdictions and health care providers, and
8 across government agencies and community partners to create
9 healthier communities, using a ~~health in all policies~~
10 *health-in-all-policies* approach.

11 (D) Contributing to a stronger evidence base of effective
12 community-based prevention strategies for priority chronic health
13 conditions.

14 (E) Evaluating effectiveness and cost-effectiveness of innovative
15 community-based prevention strategies for priority chronic health
16 conditions, as a basis for future decisions about investment in those
17 strategies in order to reduce the costs of providing health care
18 services and to improve population health status.

19 (2) Moneys in the fund shall be used to address social,
20 environmental, and behavioral determinants of chronic disease
21 and injury at any phase of the life cycle, including, but not limited
22 to, all of the following:

23 (A) Promotion of healthy diets and food environments.

24 (B) Promotion of physical activity and of a safe, physical
25 activity-promoting environment.

26 (C) Prevention of unintentional and intentional injury.

27 (3) In expending moneys from the fund, policy, systems, and
28 environmental change approaches are to be emphasized, although
29 funds can support implementation of community-based programs.

30 (4) Moneys in the fund shall not be used for clinical services.

31 (c) Revenues deposited in the fund that are unexpended at the
32 end of a fiscal year shall remain in the fund and not revert to the
33 General Fund.

34 106053. (a) The department shall be allocated an amount not
35 greater than 20 percent of the annual appropriation from the fund
36 for all of the following activities:

37 (1) Mandatory activities for which the funds shall be used are
38 as follows:

39 (A) Statewide media and communications campaigns, which
40 shall be allocated 9 percent of ~~those~~ *total* funds.

- 1 (B) Evaluation of program activities, which shall be allocated
2 at least 5 percent of ~~those~~ *total* funds.
- 3 (C) Other activities, which shall be allocated no more than 6
4 percent of ~~those~~ *total* funds, as follows:
- 5 (i) *Overall program implementation and oversight, including*
6 *review and approval of local health improvement plans, and*
7 *granting of and monitoring the implementation of local health*
8 *jurisdiction awards and competitive grant awards.*
- 9 ~~(i) Mandatory activities, including all of the following:~~
10 ~~(I) Overall program implementation and oversight.~~
11 ~~(II) Review and approval of local health improvement plans.~~
12 ~~(III) Granting of and monitoring the implementation of local~~
13 ~~health jurisdiction awards and competitive grant awards.~~
- 14 (ii) The definition of criteria for evidence-based and innovative
15 approaches to improving health and health equity, with evaluation
16 criteria appropriate to each type of approach. *Criteria for*
17 *evidence-based projects shall include cost-effectiveness or*
18 *projections of return on investment to the state.*
- 19 (iii) The definition of priority chronic health conditions and
20 health equity priority populations based on public health data.
- 21 (iv) The definition of criteria for participation of community
22 partners in local health jurisdiction funding.
- 23 (v) The development of tools that can be used by the state and
24 by grantees to monitor progress towards improving health and
25 health equity, including establishment of a health equity index.
- 26 (2) Discretionary activities, as may be appropriate to support
27 community-based prevention of priority chronic health conditions
28 throughout the state, for which the funds may be used, include,
29 but are not limited to, any of the following:
- 30 (A) Research, development, and dissemination of best practices,
31 including training and technical assistance for grantees.
- 32 (B) Development of infrastructure, including, but not limited
33 to, data resources or information technology resources to be shared
34 statewide.
- 35 (C) Coordination of local efforts.
- 36 (D) Development and promotion of statewide initiatives.
- 37 (b) The department shall award at least 80 percent of total
38 moneys made available in the annual appropriation from the fund
39 to eligible applicants to be used consistent with the purposes
40 described in subdivision (b) of Section 106052. Moneys from the

1 fund shall be distributed and awarded according to the following
2 criteria:

3 (1) (A) At least 50 percent of ~~those~~ *total* funds shall be awarded
4 to local health jurisdictions and shall be allocated on a formula
5 basis to local health jurisdictions, or their nonprofit designee, with
6 approved applications for three-year funding cycles.

7 (B) Each local health jurisdiction shall submit an application
8 for a three-year funding cycle, to be reviewed and approved by
9 the department, that includes all of the following information:

10 (i) A detailed assessment of community health needs within the
11 local health jurisdiction with respect to priority chronic health
12 conditions and health equity priority populations.

13 (ii) A health improvement and evaluation plan that includes
14 initiatives focused on health equity priority populations.

15 (iii) The level of local funds, including in-kind resources, for
16 community-based prevention activities that was provided in the
17 most recently completed fiscal year.

18 (iv) Documentation of the existence and activities of a
19 community health partnership, which includes leading health care
20 providers, local health jurisdictions, community partners, including
21 those serving health equity priority populations, businesses, and
22 other relevant local government agencies and community leaders.

23 (v) *How funds will be used in a manner consistent with*
24 *principles of effectiveness, cost efficiency, relevance to community*
25 *needs, maximal impact to improve community health, and*
26 *sustainability of impact over time.*

27 (C) Each local health jurisdiction with an approved application
28 shall receive a base award of two hundred fifty thousand dollars
29 (\$250,000) for a three-year funding cycle. The balance of the funds
30 shall be awarded to local health jurisdictions proportional to the
31 number of residents living below the federal poverty level.

32 (D) Health improvement and evaluation plans shall emphasize
33 sustainable policy, systems, and environmental change approaches
34 to creating healthier communities.

35 (E) Local health jurisdictions may come together if they so
36 desire to submit combined regional applications.

37 (F) No single recipient may receive more than 30 percent of the
38 funding allocated to local health jurisdictions on a formula basis.

39 (G) Recipients of funds pursuant to this paragraph shall maintain
40 the level of local funds, including in-kind resources, for

1 community-based prevention activities that were provided in the
2 most-recent *recently* completed fiscal year prior to July 2016.
3 Funds provided pursuant to this paragraph shall supplement and
4 not supplant existing funding for community-based prevention
5 activities of priority chronic health conditions.

6 (H) Local health jurisdiction investments shall prioritize
7 communities in the third and fourth quartiles of the California
8 Health Disadvantage Index or other criteria of health equity priority
9 populations subsequently adopted by the department.

10 (I) The initial year of funding may be used for needs assessment,
11 planning, and development.

12 (2) At least 30 percent of ~~those~~ *total* funds shall be allocated
13 for competitive grants as follows:

14 (A) (i) Competitive grants shall be awarded to local or regional
15 level entities or statewide nonprofit organizations. *Funds provided*
16 *pursuant to this paragraph shall supplement and not supplant*
17 *existing funding for community-based prevention activities of*
18 *priority chronic health conditions.*

19 (ii) Local or regional level entities include community-based
20 organizations or local public agencies, in partnership with other
21 entities, including, but not limited to, other community-based
22 organizations, other local public agencies, schools, religious
23 organizations, businesses, labor unions, health care plans, hospitals,
24 clinics, other health care providers, or other community-based
25 entities.

26 (iii) Each participating health care plan or hospital shall identify
27 monetary, in-kind, or both, contributions to projects.

28 (iv) Local or regional projects shall prioritize investments that
29 serve communities in the third and fourth quartiles of the California
30 Health Disadvantage Index or other criteria of health equity priority
31 populations subsequently adopted by the department.

32 (v) At least 10 percent of the funds awarded as competitive
33 grants shall be used for statewide nonprofit organizations.

34 (vi) Organizations receiving competitive grants shall coordinate
35 efforts with any local health jurisdictions where they are carrying
36 out activities.

37 (B) (i) Competitive grant applicants shall identify projects as
38 either an evidence-based or an innovative project.

1 (ii) Applications for evidence-based projects shall provide
2 evidence of cost-effectiveness or projections of return on investment
3 to the state.

4 ~~(ii)~~

5 (iii) At least 10 percent of the funding for competitive grants
6 shall be set aside for innovative projects that test previously
7 untested strategies in order to improve the evidence base of
8 effective community-based prevention strategies for priority
9 chronic health conditions.

10 ~~(iii)~~

11 (iv) Applications for innovative projects shall provide a rationale
12 for the defined approach and any evidence that suggests the
13 innovative project will be effective, as well as a plan and resource
14 allocation for the evaluation.

15 ~~(iv)~~

16 (v) Competitive grants may be used by organizations for policy
17 systems or environmental change efforts, direct program delivery,
18 or for technical assistance to other grantees.

19 106054. (a) (1) An advisory committee, with the members
20 serving terms not to exceed four years, shall provide expert input
21 and offer guidance to the department on the development,
22 implementation, and evaluation of the fund.

23 (2) The advisory committee shall include, at a minimum, experts
24 on priority chronic health conditions, effective nonclinical
25 prevention strategies, policy strategies for chronic disease
26 prevention, and the unique needs of health equity priority
27 populations.

28 (3) The advisory committee shall include representatives from
29 the State Department of Health Care Services, the Health in All
30 Policies Task Force, the California Health and Human Services
31 Agency, the California Conference of Local Health Officers, and
32 the California Public Employees' Retirement System.

33 (b) The department shall develop a robust evaluation framework
34 for all activities funded through the fund. *This evaluation*
35 *framework shall include all of the following:*

36 (1) *Regular monitoring of local health jurisdiction awards to*
37 *ensure activities are conducted pursuant to approved plans and*
38 *consistent with all requirements of this part.*

39 (2) *Measures to ensure funding provided pursuant to this part*
40 *supplement and do not supplant existing funding or effort.*

1 (3) *Data collection and reporting requirements for grant*
2 *awardees sufficient to assess impact and monitor compliance with*
3 *this part.*

4 (4) *A plan to analyze the impact of this part on process measures*
5 *relevant to community health promotion and, if practicable, on*
6 *outcome measures.*

7 (c) The department may define state priorities and require
8 activities funded by the fund to align with those priorities in a
9 manner that is consistent with the intent of this part. The
10 department may narrow the list of priority chronic health conditions
11 if necessary to ensure an effective program.

12 (d) *The department shall require activities pursuant to this part*
13 *to be conducted in a manner consistent with principles of*
14 *effectiveness, cost efficiency, relevance to community needs,*
15 *maximal impact to improve community health, and sustainability*
16 *of impact over time.*

17 ~~(e)~~

18 (e) (1) Based on the results of programs supported by this part
19 and any other proven methodologies available to the advisory
20 committee, the advisory committee shall produce a comprehensive
21 master plan for advancing chronic disease and injury prevention
22 throughout the state.

23 (2) The master plan shall include recommended implementation
24 strategies for each priority chronic health condition throughout the
25 state and identify areas where innovative solutions are especially
26 needed.

27 (3) The advisory committee shall submit the master plan, and
28 revisions to the master plan, to the Legislature triennially.

29 (4) The master plan and its revisions shall include
30 recommendations on specific goals for reduction of the burden of
31 preventable chronic conditions and injuries by 2030, administrative
32 arrangements, funding priorities, integration and coordination of
33 approaches by the department, the University of California, the
34 Health in All Policies Task Force, and their support systems, and
35 progress reports relating to each health equity priority population.

36 (5) A report submitted pursuant to paragraph (3) shall be
37 submitted in compliance with Section 9795 of the Government
38 Code.

1 106055. *Implementation of this part shall be contingent on an*
2 *appropriation provided for this purpose in the annual Budget Act*
3 *or other measure.*

O