AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 30, 2015 AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 13, 2015

SENATE BILL

No. 563

Introduced by Senator Pan

February 26, 2015

An act to amend Section 4610 of, *and to add Section 4610.2 to*, the Labor Code, relating to workers' compensation.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 563, as amended, Pan. Workers' compensation: utilization review. Existing law requires every employer, for purposes of workers' compensation, to establish a utilization review process to prospectively, retrospectively, or concurrently review requests by physicians for authorization to provide recommended medical treatment to injured employees. Existing law establishes timeframes for an employer to make a determination regarding a physician's request. Existing law requires the utilization review process to be governed by written policies and procedures, and requires that these policies and procedures be filed with the Administrative Director of the Division of Workers' Compensation and disclosed by the employer to employees, physicians, and the public upon request.

This bill would prohibit the use of the utilization review process for any treatment recommendations made by a physician if specified conditions are met, including that the treatment recommendation is solely for the purpose of maintaining an injured employee's current health care regimen for a preexisting injury and there is no evidence of a change in the employee's circumstances or condition showing that the services are no longer reasonably required to cure or relieve the injured worker from the effects of the industrial injury. The bill would

-2-**SB 563**

require the written policies and procedures governing utilization review to conform to these requirements.

The

11 12

13

14

This bill would—also specifically require that the method of compensation compensation, and any incentive payments contingent upon the approval, modification, or denial of a-claim, for an individual or entity providing services pursuant to the utilization review process, as specified, be filed with the administrative director and disclosed by the employer to employees, physicians, and the public upon request. The bill would exempt a request for medical treatment by a physician to cure or relieve an injured worker from the effect of an industrial injury from these requirements if the request meets specified conditions, including that a final award of permanent disability made by the appeals board specifies the provision of future medical treatment and that the request for medical treatment is for medical treatment that is specified by the award. The bill would also include a statement of legislative intent.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: no. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

- 1 SECTION 1. Section 4610 of the Labor Code is amended to 2 read:
- 3 4610. (a) For purposes of this section, "utilization review" 4 means utilization review or utilization management functions that
- prospectively, retrospectively, or concurrently review and approve, modify, delay, or deny, based in whole or in part on medical
- necessity to cure and relieve, treatment recommendations by
 - physicians, as defined in Section 3209.3, prior to, retrospectively,
- or concurrent with the provision of medical treatment services 10 pursuant to Section 4600.
 - (b) (1)—Every employer shall establish a utilization review process in compliance with this section, either directly or through its insurer or an entity with which an employer or insurer contracts for these services.
- 15 (2) The utilization review process shall not be used for any 16 treatment recommendations made by a physician if all of the 17 following conditions are met:

-3— SB 563

(A) The treatment recommendation is solely for the purpose of maintaining an injured employee's current health care regimen for a preexisting injury.

- (B) A prior treatment recommendation for the injured employee was either prospectively, retrospectively, or concurrently reviewed and approved, or modified, based in whole or in part on medical necessity and the injured employee's current health care regimen is a result of that decision.
- (C) There is no evidence of a change in the employee's circumstances or condition showing that the services are no longer reasonably required to cure or relieve the injured worker from the effects of the industrial injury.
- (c) (1)—Each utilization review process shall be governed by written policies and procedures. These policies and procedures shall ensure that decisions based on the medical necessity to cure and relieve of proposed medical treatment services are consistent with the schedule for medical treatment utilization adopted pursuant to Section 5307.27. These policies and procedures, and a description of the utilization *review* process, including, but not limited to, the method of compensation compensation, and any incentive payments contingent upon the approval, modification, or denial of a claim claim, for an individual or entity providing services under this section, shall be filed with the administrative director and shall be disclosed by the employer to employees, physicians, and the public upon request.
- (2) The written policies and procedures shall conform to the requirements of paragraph (2) of subdivision (b).
- (d) If an employer, insurer, or other entity subject to this section requests medical information from a physician in order to determine whether to approve, modify, delay, or deny requests for authorization, the employer shall request only the information reasonably necessary to make the determination. The employer, insurer, or other entity shall employ or designate a medical director who holds an unrestricted license to practice medicine in this state issued pursuant to Section 2050 or Section 2450 of the Business and Professions Code. The medical director shall ensure that the process by which the employer or other entity reviews and approves, modifies, delays, or denies requests by physicians prior to, retrospectively, or concurrent with the provision of medical treatment services, complies with the requirements of this section.

SB 563 —4—

Nothing in this section shall be construed as restricting the existing authority of the Medical Board of California.

- (e) No person other than a licensed physician who is competent to evaluate the specific clinical issues involved in the medical treatment services, and where these services are within the scope of the physician's practice, requested by the physician may modify, delay, or deny requests for authorization of medical treatment for reasons of medical necessity to cure and relieve.
- (f) The criteria or guidelines used in the utilization review process to determine whether to approve, modify, delay, or deny medical treatment services shall be all of the following:
- (1) Developed with involvement from actively practicing physicians.
- (2) Consistent with the schedule for medical treatment utilization adopted pursuant to Section 5307.27.
 - (3) Evaluated at least annually, and updated if necessary.
- (4) Disclosed to the physician and the employee, if used as the basis of a decision to modify, delay, or deny services in a specified case under review.
- (5) Available to the public upon request. An employer shall only be required to disclose the criteria or guidelines for the specific procedures or conditions requested. An employer may charge members of the public reasonable copying and postage expenses related to disclosing criteria or guidelines pursuant to this paragraph. Criteria or guidelines may also be made available through electronic means. No charge shall be required for an employee whose physician's request for medical treatment services is under review.
- (g) In determining whether to approve, modify, delay, or deny requests by physicians prior to, retrospectively, or concurrent with the provisions of medical treatment services to employees all of the following requirements shall be met:
- (1) Prospective or concurrent decisions shall be made in a timely fashion that is appropriate for the nature of the employee's condition, not to exceed five working days from the receipt of the information reasonably necessary to make the determination, but in no event more than 14 days from the date of the medical treatment recommendation by the physician. In cases where the review is retrospective, a decision resulting in denial of all or part of the medical treatment service shall be communicated to the

5 SB 563

individual who received services, or to the individual's designee, within 30 days of receipt of information that is reasonably necessary to make this determination. If payment for a medical treatment service is made within the time prescribed by Section 4603.2, a retrospective decision to approve the service need not otherwise be communicated.

1 2

- (2) When the employee's condition is such that the employee faces an imminent and serious threat to his or her health, including, but not limited to, the potential loss of life, limb, or other major bodily function, or the normal timeframe for the decisionmaking process, as described in paragraph (1), would be detrimental to the employee's life or health or could jeopardize the employee's ability to regain maximum function, decisions to approve, modify, delay, or deny requests by physicians prior to, or concurrent with, the provision of medical treatment services to employees shall be made in a timely fashion that is appropriate for the nature of the employee's condition, but not to exceed 72 hours after the receipt of the information reasonably necessary to make the determination.
- (3) (A) Decisions to approve, modify, delay, or deny requests by physicians for authorization prior to, or concurrent with, the provision of medical treatment services to employees shall be communicated to the requesting physician within 24 hours of the decision. Decisions resulting in modification, delay, or denial of all or part of the requested health care service shall be communicated to physicians initially by telephone or facsimile, and to the physician and employee in writing within 24 hours for concurrent review, or within two business days of the decision for prospective review, as prescribed by the administrative director. If the request is not approved in full, disputes shall be resolved in accordance with Section 4610.5, if applicable, or otherwise in accordance with Section 4062.
- (B) In the case of concurrent review, medical care shall not be discontinued until the employee's physician has been notified of the decision and a care plan has been agreed upon by the physician that is appropriate for the medical needs of the employee. Medical care provided during a concurrent review shall be care that is medically necessary to cure and relieve, and an insurer or self-insured employer shall only be liable for those services determined medically necessary to cure and relieve. If the insurer or self-insured employer disputes whether or not one or more

 $SB 563 \qquad \qquad -6-$

services offered concurrently with a utilization review were medically necessary to cure and relieve, the dispute shall be resolved pursuant to Section 4610.5, if applicable, or otherwise pursuant to Section 4062. Any compromise between the parties that an insurer or self-insured employer believes may result in payment for services that were not medically necessary to cure and relieve shall be reported by the insurer or the self-insured employer to the licensing board of the provider or providers who received the payments, in a manner set forth by the respective board and in such a way as to minimize reporting costs both to the board and to the insurer or self-insured employer, for evaluation as to possible violations of the statutes governing appropriate professional practices. No fees shall be levied upon insurers or self-insured employers making reports required by this section.

- (4) Communications regarding decisions to approve requests by physicians shall specify the specific medical treatment service approved. Responses regarding decisions to modify, delay, or deny medical treatment services requested by physicians shall include a clear and concise explanation of the reasons for the employer's decision, a description of the criteria or guidelines used, and the clinical reasons for the decisions regarding medical necessity. If a utilization review decision to deny or delay a medical service is due to incomplete or insufficient information, the decision shall specify the reason for the decision and specify the information that is needed.
- (5) If the employer, insurer, or other entity cannot make a decision within the timeframes specified in paragraph (1) or (2) because the employer or other entity is not in receipt of all of the information reasonably necessary and requested, because the employer requires consultation by an expert reviewer, or because the employer has asked that an additional examination or test be performed upon the employee that is reasonable and consistent with good medical practice, the employer shall immediately notify the physician and the employee, in writing, that the employer cannot make a decision within the required timeframe, and specify the information requested but not received, the expert reviewer to be consulted, or the additional examinations or tests required. The employer shall also notify the physician and employee of the anticipated date on which a decision may be rendered. Upon receipt of all information reasonably necessary and requested by the

7 SB 563

employer, the employer shall approve, modify, or deny the request for authorization within the timeframes specified in paragraph (1) or (2).

- (6) A utilization review decision to modify, delay, or deny a treatment recommendation shall remain effective for 12 months from the date of the decision without further action by the employer with regard to any further recommendation by the same physician for the same treatment unless the further recommendation is supported by a documented change in the facts material to the basis of the utilization review decision.
- (7) Utilization review of a treatment recommendation shall not be required while the employer is disputing liability for injury or treatment of the condition for which treatment is recommended pursuant to Section 4062.
- (8) If utilization review is deferred pursuant to paragraph (7), and it is finally determined that the employer is liable for treatment of the condition for which treatment is recommended, the time for the employer to conduct retrospective utilization review in accordance with paragraph (1) shall begin on the date the determination of the employer's liability becomes final, and the time for the employer to conduct prospective utilization review shall commence from the date of the employer's receipt of a treatment recommendation after the determination of the employer's liability.
- (h) Every employer, insurer, or other entity subject to this section shall maintain telephone access for physicians to request authorization for health care services.
- (i) If the administrative director determines that the employer, insurer, or other entity subject to this section has failed to meet any of the timeframes in this section, or has failed to meet any other requirement of this section, the administrative director may assess, by order, administrative penalties for each failure. A proceeding for the issuance of an order assessing administrative penalties shall be subject to appropriate notice to, and an opportunity for a hearing with regard to, the person affected. The administrative penalties shall not be deemed to be an exclusive remedy for the administrative director. These penalties shall be deposited in the Workers' Compensation Administration Revolving Fund.
 - SEC. 2. Section 4610.2 is added to the Labor Code, to read:

SB 563 -8-

4610.2. (a) A request for medical treatment by a physician to cure or relieve an injured worker from the effect of an industrial injury is not subject to Section 4610 if all of the following conditions are met:

- (1) A final award of permanent disability made by the appeals board specifies the provision of future medical treatment.
- (2) The request is for medical treatment that is specified by the final award described in paragraph (1).
 - (3) The request is for medical treatment that is evidence based.
- (b) (1) If an employer believes that a request for medical treatment is not evidence based, the employer may conduct an expedited review as provided in paragraph (1) of subdivision (g) of Section 4610 and subdivision (d) of Section 4610.6. The employer shall not modify, delay, or deny the request for medical treatment during the review.
- (2) If, after conducting the review specified in paragraph (1), the utilization review decision to modify, delay or deny is upheld by independent medical review, the employer may request a medical evaluation under Section 4062.1 to stipulate to the need for a different or additional treatment. The treatment shall only be stipulated to if it is evidence based and at least as efficacious as the prior stipulated medical treatment. The employer shall not modify, delay, or deny the request for medical treatment prior to stipulation on the new medical treatment.
- (3) For purposes of this subdivision, the term "evidence based" has the same meaning as the term "evidence-based" as used in Section 5307.27 with respect to the medical treatment utilization schedule.
- SEC. 3. It is the intent of the Legislature that the changes made to law by this act shall not have an impact on or alter in any way the decision of the court in Patterson v. Oaks Farm (2014) WL 32 3952788.