

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 5, 1996
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JULY 11, 1996
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY SEPTEMBER 14, 1995
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY SEPTEMBER 8, 1995
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 24, 1995
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 29, 1995
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 13, 1995
AMENDED IN SENATE MARCH 20, 1995

SENATE BILL

No. 18

**Introduced by Committee on Appropriations (Senators
Johnston (Chairman), Alquist, Dills, Kelley, Killea, Leslie,
and Polanco)**

December 6, 1994

An act making an appropriation for the payment of judgments and settlement claims against the State of California, and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 18, as amended, Committee on Appropriations. Judgments and settlement claims against the state.

Pursuant to existing law, the Legislature may make appropriations it deems proper for the payment of claims against the state under certain circumstances.

This bill would appropriate ~~\$882,000~~ \$3,155,065.22 from the General Fund to the Attorney General, and \$1,114,898 from the Department of Food and Agriculture Fund to the Department of Food and Agriculture, to pay specified judgments and settlement claims against the state, *and in the case of the appropriation to the Attorney General, to also pay specified attorneys fees*, and would specify that any funds remaining from this amount after those payments shall revert to the General Fund or the Department of Food and Agriculture Fund, respectively, within 15 days of the final payment.

The bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.

Vote: ²/₃. Appropriation: yes. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. (a) The sum of ~~one million, nine~~
2 ~~hundred ninety-six thousand, eight hundred ninety-eight~~
3 ~~dollars (\$1,996,898)~~ *four million two hundred sixty-nine*
4 *thousand nine hundred sixty-three dollars and*
5 *twenty-two cents (\$4,269,963.22)* is hereby appropriated
6 as follows:

7 ~~(1) The sum of eight hundred eighty-two thousand~~
8 ~~dollars (\$882,000)~~

9 *(1) The sum of six hundred seven thousand dollars*
10 *(\$607,000)* from the General Fund to the Attorney
11 General to pay the following judgments and settlement
12 claims pursuant to the following schedule:

13 (A) One hundred seven thousand dollars (\$107,000)
14 for the case of Lorin R. Clark v. California Highway
15 Patrol, State Personnel Board, et al. (Los Angeles County
16 Superior Court, Case No. WEC132 214).

17 (B) Five hundred thousand dollars (\$500,000) for the
18 case of Richard Handy and Lori Handy v. State of



1 California (Humboldt County Superior Court, Case No.
2 93DR0290).

3 ~~(C) Two hundred and seventy-five thousand dollars~~
4 ~~(\$275,000) for the case of California Democratic Party v.~~
5 ~~Lungren (United States District Court, N.D. Calif., Case~~
6 ~~No. C94-1703-WHO).~~

7 (2) *The sum of two million two hundred forty*
8 *thousand nine hundred forty-four dollars and eighty-two*
9 *cents (\$2,240,944.82) from the General Fund to the*
10 *Attorney General for payment of attorneys fees and for*
11 *payments to former and separated state employees in the*
12 *cases of Parr v. State of California and Consolidated Cases*
13 *(United States District Court, E.D. Calif., Case No. CIV*
14 *S-92-1115 GEB/PAN).*

15 (3) *The sum of three hundred seven thousand one*
16 *hundred twenty dollars and forty cents (\$307,120.40)*
17 *from the General Fund to the Attorney General for*
18 *payment of attorneys fees in the cases of Apartment*
19 *Association of Greater Los Angeles v. State of California*
20 *(Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No.*
21 *BC044662) and U.D. Registry, Inc. v. State of California*
22 *(Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No.*
23 *BC044054).*

24 (4) *The sum of one million one hundred fourteen*
25 *thousand eight hundred ninety-eight dollars (\$1,114,898)*
26 *from the Department of Food and Agriculture Fund to*
27 *the Department of Food and Agriculture for payment of*
28 *the judgment in Pacific Merchant Shipping Association v.*
29 *Voss (Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No.*
30 *524434).*

31 (b) Any funds remaining from the amount
32 appropriated in this section after these claims have been
33 paid shall revert to the General Fund or the Department
34 of Food and Agriculture Fund, respectively, within 15
35 days of the final payment.

36 SEC. 2. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the
37 immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or
38 safety within the meaning of Article IV of the
39 Constitution and shall go into immediate effect. The facts
40 constituting the necessity are:

1 In order to pay judgments and settlement claims
2 against the state and end hardship to claimants as quickly
3 as possible, it is necessary for this act to take effect
4 immediately.

O

