

AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 3, 2000
AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 12, 2000
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY MAY 26, 2000

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—1999–2000 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 1882

Introduced by Assembly Member Gallegos
(Coauthor: Assembly Member Margett)
(Coauthor: Senator Polanco)

February 10, 2000

An act to add Sections 14007, 14007.1, 14007.2, 14008, 14008.1, and 14008.2 to the Penal Code, relating to crime prevention, and making an appropriation therefor.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1882, as amended, Gallegos. Los Angeles Interagency Metropolitan Police Apprehension Crime Task Force: the Los Angeles County Regional Criminal Information Clearinghouse.

Existing law authorizes local governments to establish programs that are coordinated by certain of their agencies for the purposes of, among other things, addressing a community's gang problems.

This bill would require the Los Angeles Interagency Metropolitan Police Apprehension Crime Task Force (L.A. IMPACT) to form a violent crime and drug crime intervention unit among participating independent cities to deal with violent and drug-related crimes. The bill also would

require the Los Angeles County Regional Criminal Information Clearinghouse (L.A. Clearinghouse) to focus its activities on the area of specified counties demonstrating the greatest incidence of drug and violent crimes, increase its capabilities among participating agencies to deal with drug and violent crimes, and serve as the center for intelligence and technology to be utilized by local officials to form strategies to address these types of crimes. The bill would require an independent evaluation of the L.A. IMPACT violent and drug crime intervention unit and of the effectiveness of the L.A. Clearinghouse to be conducted by an entity selected by the Office of Criminal Justice Planning, to be submitted to the Legislature 2 years from the date that funds are initially appropriated for the purposes of the bill. Because the bill would require a local agency to perform a higher level of service, it would impose a state-mandated local program.

The bill would appropriate \$3,000,000 from the General Fund to the Office of Criminal Justice Planning for allocation to the Los Angeles County Sheriff's Department and the Department of Justice Division of Law Enforcement for the purposes of the bill.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement, including the creation of a State Mandates Claims Fund to pay the costs of mandates that do not exceed \$1,000,000 statewide and other procedures for claims whose statewide costs exceed \$1,000,000.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$. Appropriation: yes. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. Section 14007 is added to the Penal
2 Code, to read:



1 14007. The Los Angeles Interagency Metropolitan
2 Police Apprehension Crime Task Force (L.A. IMPACT)
3 shall focus its violent crime and ~~drug~~ *major drug*
4 *wholesaling* enforcement activities on the area of Los
5 Angeles County demonstrating the greatest incidence of
6 violent and drug crimes.

7 SEC. 2. Section 14007.1 is added to the Penal Code, to
8 read:

9 14007.1. L.A. IMPACT shall form a violent ~~and crime~~
10 *and major wholesale level* drug crime intervention unit
11 among participating independent cities to deal with
12 violent ~~and drug-related~~ *crime and major wholesale level*
13 *drug* crimes. L.A. IMPACT shall serve as coordinator for
14 the unit by working with local officials to form strategies
15 to address violent and *major wholesale level* drug crimes.

16 SEC. 3. Section 14007.2 is added to the Penal Code, to
17 read:

18 14007.2. (a) An independent evaluation of the
19 effectiveness of L.A. IMPACT's violent and drug crime
20 intervention unit, including a cost-benefit analysis, shall
21 be prepared and submitted to the Legislature two years
22 from the date that funds are initially appropriated for the
23 violent ~~and crime and major wholesale level~~ drug crime
24 intervention unit. The Office of Criminal Justice
25 Planning shall ~~initiate the process that will create the~~
26 ~~production of~~ *contract with an independent agency to*
27 *conduct the analysis and audit. Participating agencies*
28 *shall give all reasonable cooperation with the entity*
29 *conducting the evaluation. The analysis shall include a*
30 statewide threat assessment that will accurately portray
31 the picture of violent crime and *major wholesale level*
32 drug crime and *shall evaluate* the appropriate strategies
33 to stem those illegal activities. The evaluation shall
34 include, but not be limited to, ~~a description of the extent~~
35 ~~to which the unit has accomplished each of the following:~~

- 36 (a) ~~Effective implementation of prescribed strategies.~~
37 (b) ~~Successful targeting and prosecution of~~
38 ~~organizations and individuals responsible for identified~~
39 ~~criminal activity.~~ *the following:*



1 (1) A statement of the number of investigations on
2 particular crimes and classes of crimes, including, but not
3 limited to, the number of murder investigations, firearms
4 and other weapons crimes, drug sales, witness
5 intimidation, and criminal profiteering.

6 (2) An evaluation of how these crimes were
7 committed, including, but not limited to, the number of
8 defendants or perpetrators involved in the offenses, and
9 whether the crimes were conspiracies or committed by
10 organized criminal entities.

11 (3) If a case was resolved, the evaluation shall describe
12 how the case was resolved, including whether a
13 conviction was obtained, and for what crime, whether
14 resolution was by plea or trial, and each defendant's
15 sentence.

16 (4) An assessment as to whether the program was
17 successful in the targeting and prosecution of
18 organizations and individuals responsible for identified
19 criminal activity.

20 (5) An audit of who the appropriated funds were
21 spent. The audit shall include, but not be limited to, a
22 description of equipment purchased, agencies that
23 received funds, salaries, and overtime amounts.

24 (6) Information regarding crime trends in the areas
25 covered by L.A. IMPACT.

26 (7) The number of contacts with agencies other than
27 law enforcement agencies, including, but not limited to,
28 child protective services, county and state public health
29 agencies, and educational institutions.

30 (8) An assessment of the number of asset forfeiture
31 cases in which L.A. IMPACT was involved, including the
32 agency that initiated the seizure, the other agencies
33 involved, and whether the forfeiture was prosecuted
34 under state or federal law. The evaluation shall state the
35 amount of asset forfeiture proceeds obtained by each
36 agency in each forfeiture action.

37 (9) The source and amount of other L.A. IMPACT
38 funding, other than funding provided by this act.

39 (b) The evaluation shall be disseminated as follows:

40 (1) To the L.A. Clearinghouse.



1 (2) *To the Legislature, the Legislative Analyst's*
2 *Office, the Department of Justice, the Office of Criminal*
3 *Justice Planning.*

4 (3) *To the public.*

5 (4) *To law enforcement groups involved with L.A.*
6 *IMPACT.*

7 (5) *To county drug and alcohol abuse programs,*
8 *county public health agencies and county protective*
9 *service agencies.*

10 (6) *The evaluation shall be available upon request to*
11 *California colleges and universities, including, but not*
12 *limited to, the University of California, California State*
13 *University, and to school boards and individual schools,*
14 *junior high schools, middle schools, and primary schools.*

15 SEC. 4. Section 14008 is added to the Penal Code, to
16 read:

17 14008. The Los Angeles County Regional Criminal
18 Information Clearinghouse (L.A. Clearinghouse) shall
19 focus its activities on the area of Alameda, Contra Costa,
20 Fresno, Kern, Kings, Lake, Los Angeles, Madera, Marin,
21 Merced, Monterey, Orange, Riverside, Sacramento, San
22 Benito, San Bernardino, San Francisco, San Joaquin, San
23 Mateo, *Santa Clara, Santa Cruz*, Sonoma, Stanislaus, and
24 Tulare Counties demonstrating the greatest incidence of
25 violent~~and~~ *crime and wholesale level* drug crimes.

26 SEC. 5. Section 14008.1 is added to the Penal Code, to
27 read:

28 14008.1. L.A. Clearinghouse shall increase its
29 capabilities among participating agencies to deal with
30 violent and drug crimes. L.A. Clearinghouse shall serve
31 as the center for intelligence and technology to be
32 utilized by local officials to form strategies to address
33 these types of crimes.

34 SEC. 6. Section 14008.2 is added to the Penal Code, to
35 read:

36 14008.2. (a) An independent evaluation of the
37 effectiveness of L.A. Clearinghouse shall be prepared and
38 submitted to the Legislature two years from the date that
39 funds are initially appropriated for the violent~~and~~ *crime*
40 *and major wholesale level* drug crime intervention unit.



1 The Office of Criminal Justice Planning shall ~~initiate the~~
2 ~~process that will create~~ *contract with an independent*
3 *agency to conduct the analysis and audit. Participating*
4 *agencies shall give all reasonable cooperation with the*
5 *entity conducting the evaluation. The analysis shall*
6 *include the production of a statewide threat assessment*
7 *that will accurately portray the picture of violent crime*
8 *and wholesale level drug crime and the appropriate*
9 *strategies to stem those illegal activities. The evaluation*
10 *shall include, but not be limited to, a description of the*
11 *extent to which the unit has accomplished* ~~each of the~~
12 ~~following:~~

13 ~~(a) Develop statewide assessment from available data~~
14 ~~and develop baseline data on trends.~~

15 ~~(b) Disseminate the report to state policymakers.~~

16 ~~(c) Assist in documenting and disseminating the~~
17 ~~strategy developed by policymakers.~~

18 ~~(d) Analyze~~ *analyzing* data on a quarterly basis and
19 ~~determine~~ *determining* effectiveness of strategies.

20 ~~(e) Support enforcement groups with information~~
21 ~~and analysis as they conduct activities against identified~~
22 ~~targets and organizations.~~

23 *(b) The evaluation shall also include, but not be*
24 *limited to, the following:*

25 *(1) A statement of the number of investigations on*
26 *particular crimes and classes of crimes, including, but not*
27 *limited to, the number of murder investigations, firearms*
28 *and other weapons crimes, drug sales, witness*
29 *intimidation, and criminal profiteering.*

30 *(2) An assessment as to whether the program was*
31 *successful in the targeting and prosecution of*
32 *organizations and individuals responsible for identified*
33 *criminal activity.*

34 *(3) An audit of who the appropriated funds were*
35 *spent. The audit shall include, but not be limited to, a*
36 *description of equipment purchased, agencies that*
37 *received funds, salaries, and overtime amounts.*

38 *(4) Information regarding crime trends in the areas*
39 *covered by L.A. Clearinghouse.*



1 (5) *The number of contacts with agencies other than*
2 *law enforcement agencies, including, but not limited to,*
3 *child protective services, county and state public health*
4 *agencies, and educational institutions.*

5 (c) *The evaluation shall be disseminated as follows:*

6 (1) *To the L.A. IMPACT.*

7 (2) *To the Legislature, the Legislative Analyst’s*
8 *Office, the Department of Justice, the Office of Criminal*
9 *Justice Planning.*

10 (3) *To the public.*

11 (4) *To law enforcement groups involved with L.A.*
12 *Clearinghouse and L.A. IMPACT.*

13 (5) *To county drug and alcohol abuse programs,*
14 *county public health agencies, and county protective*
15 *service agencies.*

16 (6) *The evaluation shall be available upon request to*
17 *California colleges and universities, including, but not*
18 *limited to, the University of California, California State*
19 *University, and to school boards and individual schools,*
20 *junior high schools, middle schools, and primary schools.*

21 (7) *The evaluation shall be made available to law*
22 *enforcement agencies in counties not served by L.A.*
23 *Clearinghouse, including probation departments and*
24 *parole agents.*

25 SEC. 7. The sum of three million dollars (\$3,000,000)
26 is hereby appropriated from the General Fund to the
27 Office of Criminal Justice Planning for allocation to the
28 Los Angeles County Sheriff’s Department and the
29 Department of Justice Division of Law Enforcement in
30 accordance with the following schedule:

31		
32	(a) The Los Angeles Interagency Metropolitan	
33	Police Apprehension Crime Task Force	\$1,250,000
34	(b) The Los Angeles County Regional Criminal	
35	Information Clearinghouse	\$1,000,000
36	(c) The Department of Justice Division of Law	
37	Enforcement funding for analytical support in	
38	the following regions:	
39		
40	Bay area	\$250,000



1	Central Valley area	\$250,000
2	San Diego/Imperial Valley area	\$250,000

3

4 *A maximum of 3 percent of the funds appropriated by*
5 *this act may be expended for the preparation of reports*
6 *required by this act, and other allocations made pursuant*
7 *to this section shall be reduced accordingly, on a prorata*
8 *basis.*

9 SEC. 8. Notwithstanding Section 17610 of the
10 Government Code, if the Commission on State Mandates
11 determines that this act contains costs mandated by the
12 state, reimbursement to local agencies and school
13 districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7
14 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title
15 2 of the Government Code. If the statewide cost of the
16 claim for reimbursement does not exceed one million
17 dollars (\$1,000,000), reimbursement shall be made from
18 the State Mandates Claims Fund.

