

AMENDED IN SENATE JULY 6, 2005
AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 21, 2005
AMENDED IN SENATE JUNE 13, 2005
AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY APRIL 20, 2005

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2005—06 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL

No. 880

Introduced by Assembly Member Cohn

February 18, 2005

An act to amend Section 16500.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code, relating to dependent children.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 880, as amended, Cohn. Dependent children.

Existing law provides for the placement of dependent children by the juvenile court according to specified procedures. Existing law requires the state to encourage the development of approaches to child protection that employ specified methods.

This bill would expand the latter provision by requiring the state to encourage the development of approaches that include ensuring that a search for relatives available for placement is initiated before permanent placement decisions are made for children who cannot be reunited with their families. The bill would also set forth various requirements for the State Department of Social Services to ensure that as many family members as possible of dependent children are identified, including drafting guidelines outlining best practices in the use of advanced technology to assist counties in identifying all relatives and nonrelative extended family members at the earliest possible time for a foster child and developing a cost benefit analysis,

including funding estimates, as specified. The bill would authorize the department to identify best practices for implementing optimal foster child placement opportunities, as reported by designated counties that have developed kinship care programs for that purpose.

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes.
State-mandated local program: no.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares as follows:

2 (a) Currently, social workers make the effort to maintain
3 relationships between the child in foster care and certain
4 individuals who are important to the child.

5 (b) The Legislature recognizes the right of a child in foster
6 care to be involved in the development of his or her own case
7 plan to identify all relatives, extended family members, and
8 nonrelative extended family members, and the need to place the
9 child in a safe home and to complete any steps necessary to
10 finalize the permanent placement of the child and his or her
11 siblings, if any.

12 (c) In California, at least eight counties have voluntarily
13 initiated programs to provide the vital function of seeking to find
14 a foster child's relatives and nonrelative extended family
15 members using new advances in technology. These proven
16 search methods can serve to provide family members who may
17 agree to house children who would otherwise be at risk of foster
18 care placement. Relative homes offer stability to children in
19 crisis and enhance family reunification. Outcome data from
20 Washington and Illinois show that children in the care of
21 relatives are less likely to enter state custody, and most of these
22 arrangements do not require intensive supervision of the
23 placement by the courts or by the department of social services.
24 Moreover, results from these states demonstrate that even the
25 most difficult to place foster children have been successfully
26 placed with extended family members by utilizing family finding
27 technologies.

28 SEC. 2. (a) The State Department of Social Services, in
29 conjunction with stakeholders, including, but not limited to, the
30 California Youth Connection ~~and the~~ County Welfare Directors
31 Association, *and the California Alliance of Child and Family*

1 *Services* shall draft guidelines outlining best practices in the use
2 of advanced technology to assist counties in identifying all
3 relatives and nonrelative extended family members at the earliest
4 possible time for a foster child. The department, in conjunction
5 with stakeholders, shall also develop a cost benefit analysis,
6 including estimates of the funding needed to support family
7 finding technology that counties can utilize, and develop
8 recommended funding strategies, including the use of state
9 master contracts, public and private partnerships, and available
10 federal funds.

11 (b) (1) The State Department of Social Services may identify
12 best practices for the development of optimal foster child
13 placement opportunities, as reported by the counties listed in
14 paragraph (2), that are planning, designing, and implementing
15 strategies, such as kinship care programs, to prioritize the
16 placement of foster children. The creation of public and private
17 partnerships is encouraged, and will enhance programs designed
18 to quickly identify relatives and nonrelative extended family
19 members of children placed in foster care. The purpose of these
20 programs is to create a robust component in the spectrum of
21 foster care placement options, to be used when out-of-home
22 placement is necessary and to secure permanency for a foster
23 child and any siblings.

24 (2) Counties that have developed kinship care programs,
25 whose practices may be considered by the department pursuant to
26 this subdivision, include, but are not limited to, all of the
27 following:

28 (A) Alameda County.

29 (B) Monterey County.

30 (C) Orange County.

31 (D) Placer County.

32 (E) Sacramento County.

33 (F) Santa Clara County.

34 (G) Stanislaus County.

35 (H) San Mateo County.

36 SEC. 3. Section 16500.1 of the Welfare and Institutions Code
37 is amended to read:

38 16500.1. (a) It is the intent of the Legislature to use the
39 strengths of families and communities to serve the needs of
40 children who are alleged to be abused or neglected, as described

1 in Section 300, to reduce the necessity for removing these
2 children from their home, to encourage speedy reunification of
3 families when it can be safely accomplished, to locate permanent
4 homes and families with relatives, as defined in paragraph (2) of
5 subdivision (c) of Section 361.3, for children who cannot return
6 to their biological families, to reduce the number of placements
7 experienced by these children, to ensure that children leaving the
8 foster care system have support within their communities, to
9 improve the quality and homelike nature of out-of-home care,
10 and to foster the educational progress of children in out-of-home
11 care.

12 (b) In order to achieve the goals specified in subdivision (a),
13 the state shall encourage the development of approaches to child
14 protection that do all of the following:

15 (1) Allow children to remain in their own schools, in close
16 proximity to their families.

17 (2) Ensure that a search for relatives available for placement is
18 initiated before permanent placement decisions are made for
19 children who are unable to be reunited with their families.

20 (3) Increase the number and quality of foster families available
21 to serve these children.

22 (4) Use a team approach to foster care that permits the
23 biological and foster family to be part of that team.

24 (5) Use team decisionmaking in case planning.

25 (6) Provide support to foster children and foster families.

26 (7) Ensure that licensing requirements do not create barriers to
27 recruitment of qualified, high-quality foster homes.

28 (8) Provide training for foster parents and professional staff on
29 working effectively with families and communities.

30 (9) Encourage foster parents to serve as mentors and role
31 models for biological parents.

32 (10) Use community resources, including community-based
33 agencies and volunteer organizations, to assist in developing
34 placements for children and to provide support for children and
35 their families.

36 (11) Ensure an appropriate array of placement resources for
37 children in need of out-of-home care.

38 (12) Ensure that no child leaves foster care without a life-long
39 connection to a committed adult.

1 (c) In carrying out the requirements of subdivision (b), the
2 department shall do all of the following:

3 (1) Consider the existing array of program models provided in
4 statute and in practice, including, but not limited to, wraparound
5 services, as defined in Section 18251, children’s systems of care,
6 as provided for in Section 5852, the Oregon Family Unity or
7 Santa Clara County Family Conference models, which include
8 family conferences at key points in the casework process, such as
9 when out-of-home placement or return home ~~are~~ *is* considered,
10 and the Annie E. Casey Foundation Family to Family initiative,
11 which uses team decisionmaking in case planning,
12 community-based placement practices requiring that children be
13 placed in foster care in the communities where they resided prior
14 to placement, and involve foster families as team members in
15 family reunification efforts.

16 (2) Ensure that emergency response services, family
17 maintenance services, family reunification services, and
18 permanent placement services are coordinated with the
19 implementation of the models described in paragraph (1).

20 (3) Ensure consistency between child welfare services
21 program regulations and the program models described in
22 paragraph (1).

23 (d) The department, in conjunction with stakeholders,
24 including, but not limited to, county child welfare services
25 agencies, foster parent and group home associations, the
26 California Youth Connection, and other child advocacy groups,
27 shall review the existing child welfare services program
28 regulations to ensure that these regulations are consistent with the
29 legislative intent specified in subdivision (a). This review shall
30 also determine how to incorporate the best practice guidelines for
31 assessment of children and families receiving child welfare and
32 foster care services, as required by Section 16501.2.

33 (e) The department shall report to the Legislature on the
34 results of the actions taken under this section on or before
35 January 1, 2002.

O