

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY SEPTEMBER 12, 2009

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY AUGUST 31, 2009

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 25, 2009

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 4, 2009

AMENDED IN SENATE APRIL 16, 2009

SENATE BILL

No. 678

Introduced by Senators Leno and Benoit
(Coauthors: Senators Ducheny, Hancock, Oropeza, and Steinberg)
(Coauthors: Assembly Members Arambula, Gilmore, Hagman, and Hill)

February 27, 2009

An act to add and repeal Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 1228) of Title 8 of Part 2 of the Penal Code, relating to probation, ~~and declaring the urgency thereof, to take effect immediately.~~

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

SB 678, as amended, Leno. Criminal recidivism.

Existing law authorizes the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation to oversee programs for the purposes of reducing parolee recidivism.

This bill would authorize each county to establish a Community Corrections Performance Incentives Fund (CCPIF) and would authorize the state to annually allocate money into a State Corrections Performance Incentives Fund to be used for specified purposes relating to improving local probation supervision practices and capacities, as specified. This bill would require the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Joint Legislative

Budget Committee, the Chief Probation Officers of California, and the Administrative Office of the Courts, to calculate the amount of money to be appropriated from the state fund into a CCPIF. This bill would specify that the calculation would be based on costs avoided by the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation because of a reduction in the percentage of adult probationers sent to prison for a probation failure, as specified. This bill would also require each county using CCPIF funds to identify and track specific outcome-based measures, as specified, and report to the Administrative Office of the Courts on the effectiveness of the programs paid for by the CCPIF.

This bill would require the community corrections programs to be developed and implemented by the chief probation officer, as advised by a Community Corrections Partnership. This bill would require specified local officials to serve as part of that Community Corrections Partnership. Because this bill would increase the duties for certain local officials, it would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

~~This bill would declare that it is to take effect immediately as an urgency statute.~~

Vote: $\frac{2}{3}$ -majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: yes. State-mandated local program: yes.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

1 SECTION 1. This act shall be known and may be cited as the
2 California Community Corrections Performance Incentives Act
3 of 2009.

4 SEC. 2. Chapter 3 (commencing with Section 1228) is added
5 to Title 8 of Part 2 of the Penal Code, to read:

6
7 CHAPTER 3. CALIFORNIA COMMUNITY CORRECTIONS
8 PERFORMANCE INCENTIVES
9

10 1228. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

1 (a) In 2007, nearly 270,000 felony offenders were subject to
2 probation supervision in California's communities.

3 (b) In 2007, out of 46,987 new admissions to state prison, nearly
4 20,000 were felony offenders who were committed to state prison
5 after failing probation supervision.

6 (c) Probation is a judicially imposed suspension of sentence
7 that attempts to supervise, treat, and rehabilitate offenders while
8 they remain in the community under the supervision of the
9 probation department. Probation is a linchpin of the criminal justice
10 system, closely aligned with the courts, and plays a central role in
11 promoting public safety in California's communities.

12 (d) Providing sustainable funding for improved, evidence-based
13 probation supervision practices and capacities will improve public
14 safety outcomes among adult felons who are on probation.
15 Improving felony probation performance, measured by a reduction
16 in felony probationers who are sent to prison because they were
17 revoked on probation or convicted of another crime while on
18 probation, will reduce the number of new admissions to state
19 prison, saving taxpayer dollars and allowing a portion of those
20 state savings to be redirected to probation for investing in
21 community corrections programs.

22 1229. As used in this chapter, the following definitions apply:

23 (a) "Community corrections" means the placement of persons
24 convicted of a felony offense under probation supervision, with
25 conditions imposed by a court for a specified period.

26 (b) "Chief probation officer" means the chief probation officer
27 for the county or city and county in which an adult offender is
28 subject to probation for the conviction of a felony offense.

29 (c) "Community corrections program" means a program
30 established pursuant to this act consisting of a system of felony
31 probation supervision services dedicated to all of the following
32 goals:

33 (1) Enhancing public safety through the management and
34 reduction of offender risk while under felony probation supervision
35 and upon reentry from jail into the community.

36 (2) Providing a range of probation supervision tools, sanctions,
37 and services applied to felony probationers based on a risk/needs
38 assessment for the purpose of reducing criminal conduct and
39 promoting behavioral change that results in reducing recidivism

1 and promoting the successful reintegration of offenders into the
2 community.

3 (3) Maximizing offender restitution, reconciliation, and
4 restorative services to victims of crime.

5 (4) Holding offenders accountable for their criminal behaviors
6 and for successful compliance with applicable court orders and
7 conditions of supervision.

8 (5) Improving public safety outcomes for persons placed on
9 probation for a felony offense, as measured by their successful
10 completion of probation and commensurate reduction in the rate
11 of felony probationers sent to prison as a result of a probation
12 revocation or conviction of a new crime.

13 (d) “Evidence-based practices” refers to supervision policies,
14 procedures, programs, and practices demonstrated by scientific
15 research to reduce recidivism among individuals under probation,
16 parole, or postrelease supervision.

17 1230. (a) Each county is hereby authorized to establish in each
18 county treasury a Community Corrections Performance Incentives
19 Fund (CCPIF), to receive all amounts allocated to that county for
20 purposes of implementing this chapter.

21 (b) In any fiscal year for which a county receives moneys to be
22 expended for the implementation of this chapter, the moneys,
23 including any interest, shall be made available to the chief
24 probation officer (CPO) of that county, within 30 days of the
25 deposit of those moneys into the fund, for the implementation of
26 the community corrections program authorized by this chapter.

27 (1) The community corrections program shall be developed and
28 implemented by probation and advised by a local Community
29 Corrections Partnership.

30 (2) The local Community Corrections Partnership shall be
31 chaired by the chief probation officer and comprised of the
32 following membership:

33 (A) The presiding judge of the superior court, or his or her
34 designee.

35 (B) A county supervisor or the chief administrative officer for
36 the county.

37 (C) The district attorney.

38 (D) The public defender.

39 (E) The sheriff.

40 (F) A chief of police.

1 (G) The head of the county department of social services.

2 (H) The head of the county department of mental health.

3 (I) The head of the county department of employment.

4 (J) The head of the county alcohol and substance abuse
5 programs.

6 (K) The head of the county office of education.

7 (L) A representative from a community-based organization with
8 experience in successfully providing rehabilitative services to
9 persons who have been convicted of a criminal offense.

10 (M) An individual who represents the interests of victims.

11 (3) Funds allocated to probation pursuant to this act shall be
12 used to provide supervision and rehabilitative services for adult
13 felony offenders subject to probation, and shall be spent on
14 evidence-based community corrections practices and programs,
15 as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 1229, which may include,
16 but are not limited to, the following:

17 (A) Implementing and expanding evidence-based risk and needs
18 assessments.

19 (B) Implementing and expanding intermediate sanctions that
20 include, but are not limited to, electronic monitoring, mandatory
21 community service, home detention, day reporting, restorative
22 justice programs, work furlough programs, and incarceration in
23 county jail for up to 90 days.

24 (C) Providing more intensive probation supervision.

25 (D) Expanding the availability of evidence-based rehabilitation
26 programs including, but not limited to, drug and alcohol treatment,
27 mental health treatment, anger management, cognitive behavior
28 programs, and job training and employment services.

29 (E) Evaluating the effectiveness of rehabilitation and supervision
30 programs and ensuring program fidelity.

31 (4) The chief probation officer shall have discretion to spend
32 funds on any of the above practices and programs consistent with
33 this act but, at a minimum, shall devote at least 5 percent of all
34 funding received to evaluate the effectiveness of those programs
35 and practices implemented with the funds provided pursuant to
36 this chapter. A chief probation officer may petition the
37 Administrative Office of the Courts to have this restriction waived,
38 and the Administrative Office of the Courts shall have the authority
39 to grant such a petition, if the CPO can demonstrate that the

1 department is already devoting sufficient funds to the evaluation
2 of these programs and practices.

3 (5) Each probation department receiving funds under this chapter
4 shall maintain a complete and accurate accounting of all funds
5 received pursuant to this chapter.

6 1231. (a) Community corrections programs funded pursuant
7 to this act shall identify and track specific outcome-based measures
8 consistent with the goals of this act.

9 (b) The Administrative Office of the Courts, in consultation
10 with the Chief Probation Officers of California, shall specify and
11 define minimum required outcome-based measures, which shall
12 include, but not be limited to, all of the following:

13 (1) The percentage of persons on felony probation who are being
14 supervised in accordance with evidence-based practices.

15 (2) The percentage of state moneys expended for programs that
16 are evidence-based, and a descriptive list of all programs that are
17 evidence-based.

18 (3) Specification of supervision policies, procedures, programs,
19 and practices that were eliminated.

20 (4) The percentage of persons on felony probation who
21 successfully complete the period of probation.

22 (c) Each chief probation officer receiving funding pursuant to
23 Sections 1233 to 1233.6, inclusive, shall provide an annual written
24 report to the Administrative Office of the Courts and the
25 Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation evaluating the
26 effectiveness of the community corrections program, including,
27 but not limited to, the data described in subdivision (b).

28 (d) The Administrative Office of the Courts shall, in consultation
29 with the chief probation officer of each county and the Department
30 of Corrections and Rehabilitation, provide a quarterly statistical
31 report to the Department of Finance including, but not limited to,
32 the following statistical information for each county:

33 (1) The number of felony filings.

34 (2) The number of felony convictions.

35 (3) The number of felony convictions in which the defendant
36 was sentenced to the state prison.

37 (4) The number of felony convictions in which the defendant
38 was granted probation.

39 (5) The adult felon probation population.

1 (6) The number of felons who had their probation revoked and
2 were sent to prison for that revocation.

3 (7) The number of adult felony probationers sent to state prison
4 for a conviction of a new felony offense, including when probation
5 was revoked or terminated.

6 1232. Commencing no later than 18 months following the
7 initial receipt of funding pursuant to this act and annually
8 thereafter, the Administrative Office of the Courts, in consultation
9 with the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the
10 Department of Finance, and the Chief Probation Officers of
11 California, shall submit to the Governor and the Legislature a
12 comprehensive report on the implementation of this act. The report
13 shall include, but not be limited to, all of the following information:

14 (a) The effectiveness of the community corrections program
15 based on the reports of performance-based outcome measures
16 required in Section 1231.

17 (b) The percentage of felony probationers whose probation was
18 revoked for the year on which the report is being made.

19 (c) The percentage of felony probationers who were convicted
20 of crimes during their term of probation for the year on which the
21 report is being made.

22 (d) The impact of the moneys appropriated pursuant to this act
23 to enhance public safety by reducing the percentage and number
24 of felony probationers whose probation was revoked for the year
25 being reported on for probation violations or new convictions, and
26 to reduce the number of felony probationers who are sent to prison
27 for the year on which the report is being made.

28 (e) Any recommendations regarding resource allocations or
29 additional collaboration with other state, regional, federal, or local
30 entities for improvements to this act.

31 1233. (a) The Director of Finance, in consultation with the
32 Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Joint Legislative
33 Budget Committee, the Chief Probation Officers of California,
34 and the Administrative Office of the Courts, shall calculate for
35 each county a baseline probation failure rate that equals the average
36 number of adult felony probationers sent to state prison during
37 calendar years 2006 to 2008, inclusive, as a percentage of the
38 average adult felony probation population during the same period.

39 (b) For purposes of calculating the baseline probation failure
40 rate, the number of adult felony probationers sent to prison shall

1 include those adult felony probationers sent to state prison for a
2 revocation of probation, as well as adult felony probationers sent
3 to state prison for a conviction of a new felony offense. The
4 calculation shall also include adult felony probationers sent to
5 prison for conviction of a new crime who simultaneously have
6 their probation term terminated.

7 1233.1. After the conclusion of each calendar year following
8 the enactment of this section, the Director of Finance, in
9 consultation with the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation,
10 the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, the Chief Probation
11 Officers of California, and the Administrative Office of the Courts,
12 shall calculate the following for that calendar year:

13 (a) The cost to the state to incarcerate in prison and supervise
14 on parole a probationer sent to prison. This calculation shall take
15 into consideration factors, including, but not limited to, the average
16 length of stay in prison and on parole for probationers, as well as
17 the associated parole revocation rates, and revocation costs.

18 (b) The statewide probation failure rate. The statewide probation
19 failure rate shall be calculated as the total number of adult felony
20 probationers statewide sent to prison in the previous year as a
21 percentage of the statewide adult felony probation population as
22 of June 30 of that year.

23 (c) A probation failure rate for each county. Each county's
24 probation failure rate shall be calculated as the number of adult
25 felony probationers sent to prison from that county in the previous
26 year as a percentage of the county's adult felony probation
27 population as of June 30 of that year.

28 (d) An estimate of the number of adult felony probationers each
29 county successfully prevented from being sent to prison. For each
30 county, this estimate shall be calculated based on the reduction in
31 the county's probation failure rate as calculated annually pursuant
32 to subdivision (c) of this section and the county's baseline
33 probation failure rate as calculated pursuant to Section 1233. In
34 making this estimate, the Director of Finance, in consultation with
35 the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Joint
36 Legislative Budget Committee, the Chief Probation Officers of
37 California, and the Administrative Office of the Courts, shall adjust
38 the calculations to account for changes in each county's adult
39 felony probation caseload in the most recent completed calendar

1 year as compared to the county's adult felony probation population
2 during the period 2006 to 2008, inclusive.

3 (e) In calculating probation failure rates for the state and
4 individual counties, the number of adult felony probationers sent
5 to prison shall include those adult felony probationers sent to state
6 prison for a revocation of probation, as well as adult felony
7 probationers sent to state prison for a conviction of a new felony
8 offense. The calculation shall also include adult felony probationers
9 who are sent to prison for conviction of a new crime and who
10 simultaneously have their probation terms terminated.

11 1233.2. Annually, after the conclusion of each calendar year,
12 the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Department of
13 Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Joint Legislative Budget
14 Committee, the Chief Probation Officers of California, and the
15 Administrative Office of the Courts, shall identify the appropriate
16 Probation Revocation Tier for each county for which it was
17 estimated that the county successfully prevented any number of
18 adult felony probationers from being sent to state prison, as
19 provided in subdivision (d) of Section 1233.1. The tiers shall be
20 defined as follows:

21 (a) Tier 1. A Tier 1 county is one which has a probation failure
22 rate, as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 1233.1, that is no
23 more than 25 percent higher than the statewide probation failure
24 rate, as defined in subdivision (b) of Section 1233.1.

25 (b) Tier 2. A Tier 2 county is one which has a probation failure
26 rate, as defined in subdivision (c) of Section 1233.1, that is more
27 than 25 percent above the statewide probation failure rate, as
28 defined in subdivision (b) of Section 1233.1.

29 1233.3. Annually, the Director of Finance, in consultation with
30 the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Joint
31 Legislative Budget Committee, the Chief Probation Officers of
32 California, and the Administrative Office of the Courts, shall
33 calculate a probation failure reduction incentive payment for each
34 eligible county, pursuant to Section 1233.2, for the most recently
35 completed calendar year, as follows:

36 (a) For a county identified as being in Tier 1, as defined in
37 subdivision (a) of Section 1233.2, its probation failure reduction
38 incentive payment shall equal the estimated number of probationers
39 successfully prevented from being sent to prison, as defined by
40 subdivision (d) of Section 1233.1, multiplied by 45 percent of the

1 costs to the state to incarcerate in prison and supervise on parole
2 a probationer who was sent to prison, as defined in subdivision (a)
3 of Section 1233.1.

4 (b) For a county identified as being in Tier 2, as defined in
5 subdivision (b) of Section 1233.2, its probation failure reduction
6 incentive payment shall equal the estimated number of probationers
7 successfully prevented from being sent to prison, as defined by
8 subdivision (d) of Section 1233.1, multiplied by 40 percent of the
9 costs to the state to incarcerate in prison and supervise on parole
10 a probationer who was sent to prison, as defined in subdivision (a)
11 of Section 1233.1.

12 1233.4. (a) It is the intent of the Legislature for counties
13 demonstrating high success rates with adult felony probationers
14 to have access to performance-based funding as provided for in
15 this section.

16 (b) On an annual basis, the Department of Finance, in
17 consultation with the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation,
18 the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, the Chief Probation
19 Officers of California, and the Administrative Office of the Courts,
20 shall calculate 5 percent of the savings to the state attributed to
21 those counties that successfully reduce the number of adult felony
22 probationers sent to state prison.

23 (c) The savings estimated pursuant to subdivision (b) shall be
24 used to provide high performance grants to county probation
25 departments for the purpose of bolstering evidence-based probation
26 practices designed to reduce recidivism among adult felony
27 probationers.

28 (d) County probation departments eligible for these high
29 performance grants shall be those with adult probation failure rates
30 more than 50 percent below the statewide average in the most
31 recently completed calendar year.

32 (e) A county probation department may receive a high
33 performance grant under this section in a year in which it does not
34 also receive a probation failure reduction incentive payment as
35 provided for in Section 1233.3. The CPO of a county that qualifies
36 for both a high performance grant and a probation failure reduction
37 incentive payment shall indicate to the Administrative Office of
38 the Courts, by a date designated by the Administrative Office of
39 the Courts, whether the CPO chooses to receive the high
40 performance grant or probation failure reduction payment.

(f) The grants provided for in this section shall be administered by the Administrative Office of the Courts. The Administrative Office of the Courts shall seek to ensure that all qualifying probation departments that submit qualifying applications receive a proportionate share of the grant funding available based on the population of adults ages 18 to 25, inclusive, in each of the counties receiving the grants.

1233.5. If data of sufficient quality and of the types required for the implementation of this act are not available to the Director of Finance, then the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, and the Administrative Office of the Courts, shall use the best available data to estimate probation failure reduction incentive payments and high performance grants utilizing a methodology that is as consistent with that described in this act as is reasonably possible.

1233.6. (a) Probation failure reduction incentive payments and high performance grants calculated for any calendar year shall be provided to counties in the following fiscal year. The total annual payment to each county shall be divided into four equal quarterly payments.

(b) The Department of Finance shall include an estimate of the total probation failure reduction incentive payments and high performance grants to be provided to counties in the coming fiscal year as part of the Governor's proposed budget released no later than January 10 of each year. This estimate shall be adjusted by the Department of Finance, as necessary, to reflect the actual calculations of probation revocation incentive payments and high performance grants completed by the Director of Finance, in consultation with the Department of Corrections and Rehabilitation, the Joint Legislative Budget Committee, the Chief Probation Officers of California, and the Administrative Office of the Courts. This adjustment shall occur as part of standard budget revision processes completed by the Department of Finance in April and May of each year.

(c) There is hereby established a State Community Corrections Performance Incentives Fund. Moneys budgeted for purposes of providing probation revocation incentive payments and high performance grants authorized in Sections 1230 to 1233.6, inclusive, shall be deposited into this fund. Any moneys deposited

1 into this fund shall be administered by the Administrative Office
2 of the Courts and the share calculated for each county probation
3 department shall be transferred to its Community Corrections
4 Performance Incentives Fund authorized in Section 1230. The
5 Legislature may allocate up to 3 percent of the funds annually
6 deposited into the State Community Corrections Performance
7 Incentives Fund for use by the Administrative Office of the Courts
8 for the costs of administering this program.

9 1233.7. The moneys appropriated pursuant to this chapter shall
10 be used to supplement, not supplant, any other state or county
11 appropriation for the chief probation officer or the probation
12 department.

13 1233.8. This chapter shall remain in effect only until January
14 1, 2015, and as of that date is repealed, unless a later enacted
15 statute, that is enacted before January 1, 2015, deletes or extends
16 that date.

17 SEC. 3. The Judicial Council shall consider the adoption of
18 appropriate modifications to the Criminal Rules of Court, and of
19 other judicial branch policies, procedures, and programs, affecting
20 felony probation services that would support implementation of
21 the evidence-based probation supervision practices described in
22 this chapter.

23 SEC. 4. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that
24 this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to
25 local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made
26 pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division
27 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

28 ~~SEC. 5. This act is an urgency statute necessary for the~~
29 ~~immediate preservation of the public peace, health, or safety within~~
30 ~~the meaning of Article IV of the Constitution and shall go into~~
31 ~~immediate effect. The facts constituting the necessity are:~~

32 ~~County budget shortfalls threaten to significantly reduce~~
33 ~~probation budgets, likely resulting in more probation failures that~~
34 ~~will negatively affect public safety. Tens of thousands of probation~~
35 ~~failures already come to prison each year. As of April 2009, the~~
36 ~~prison inmate population totaled 168,000 with significant~~
37 ~~overcrowding of state prisons, affecting the safety and security of~~
38 ~~staff and inmates. In order to improve the safety of the general~~

- 1 ~~public and those working and being housed in state prisons, it is~~
- 2 ~~necessary for this act to take effect immediately.~~

O