AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JANUARY 4, 2012

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2011–12 REGULAR SESSION

ASSEMBLY BILL No. 1191

Introduced by Assembly Member Huber

February 18, 2011

An act to ~~repeal Section 50075.5 of the Public Utilities Code, relating to transportation.~~ *amend Sections 97.68 and 97.70 of the Revenue and Taxation Code, relating to local government finance.*

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL'S DIGEST

AB 1191, as amended, Huber. ~~San Joaquin Regional Transit District.~~ *Local government finance.*

(1) Existing law requires the county auditor, in each fiscal year, to allocate property tax revenue to local jurisdictions in accordance with specified formulas and procedures, and generally requires that each jurisdiction be allocated an amount equal to the total of the amount of revenue allocated to that jurisdiction in the prior fiscal year, subject to certain modifications, and that jurisdiction's portion of the annual tax increment, as defined. Existing property tax law also reduces the amounts of ad valorem property tax revenue that would otherwise be annually allocated to the county, cities, and special districts pursuant to these general allocation requirements by requiring, for purposes of determining property tax revenue allocations in each county for the 1992–93 and 1993–94 fiscal years, that the amounts of property tax revenue deemed allocated in the prior fiscal year to the county, cities, and special districts be reduced in accordance with certain formulas. Existing law requires that the revenues not allocated to the county, cities, and special districts as a result of these reductions be transferred to the Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund in that county for

allocation to school districts, community college districts, and the county office of education.

Existing law requires the county auditor to decrease, for the fiscal adjustment period, as defined, the amount of ad valorem property tax revenue allocated to a county's Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund by the countywide adjustment amount, as defined, and requires the auditor to instead allocate this amount to the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund in the county. Existing law requires, during this same period, the county auditor to allocate moneys from the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund to cities and counties to reimburse these entities for local tax revenue losses resulting from a specified statute, as provided. Existing law requires these allocations to be made in a manner that ensures that the amount of ad valorem property tax revenue allocated to cities, counties, and special districts pursuant to specified statutes is not reduced.

This bill would, for the 2012–13 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, if there is not enough ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to a county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund for the county auditor to complete the decreases required during the fiscal adjustment period, require the county auditor to calculate an amount, as specified, and to submit a claim to the Controller for that amount. This bill would require the Controller, upon appropriation by the Legislature, to deposit the amount of the claim into the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund, and would require the county auditor to allocate that amount among the county and to each city in the county.

(2) The Vehicle License Fee (VLF) Law establishes, in lieu of any ad valorem property tax upon vehicles, an annual license fee for any vehicle subject to registration in this state. Beginning with the 2004–05 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, existing law requires that each city, county, and city and county receive a vehicle license fee adjustment amount (VLFAA), as defined, from a Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund (VLFPTCF) that exists in each county treasury. Existing law requires that these amounts be funded from ad valorem property tax revenues otherwise required to be allocated to educational entities.

This bill would, for the 2012–13 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, if there is not enough ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to educational entities for the county auditor to make the VLFAA payments, require the county auditor *to allocate to the VLFPTCF a specified amount of ad valorem property tax revenue, that is not required to be allocated under a specified statute, to an elementary, high school, or unified school district. This bill would require the county auditor, if there is still not enough ad valorem property tax revenue to make the VLFAA payments, to submit a claim to the Controller for the remaining amount necessary to make those payments. This bill would, upon appropriation by the Legislature, require the Controller to deposit the amount of the claim into the VLFPTCF, and would require the county auditor to allocate that amount in the manner provided by the existing payment provisions.*

(3) By imposing additional duties upon local tax officials with respect to the allocation of ad valorem property tax revenues, this bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

The California Constitution requires the state to reimburse local agencies and school districts for certain costs mandated by the state. Statutory provisions establish procedures for making that reimbursement.

This bill would provide that, if the Commission on State Mandates determines that the bill contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement for those costs shall be made pursuant to these statutory provisions.

~~Existing law creates the San Joaquin Regional Transit District to operate public transit services in the Stockton Metropolitan Area, as defined, and the remainder of San Joaquin County. Existing law requires all new intercity, interregional, and rural services provided outside the Stockton Metropolitan Area to be open to competitive bidding at least once every 5 years, and provides that the district may be one of the bidders. Existing law requires the contract for service to be let to the lowest responsible bidder, and authorizes the board of the district to reject any and all bids and readvertise the contract.~~

~~This bill would repeal these competitive bidding requirements.~~

Vote: majority. Appropriation: no. Fiscal committee: ~~no~~ *yes*. State-mandated local program: ~~no~~ *yes*.

The people of the State of California do enact as follows:

SECTION 1. The Legislature finds and declares all of the following:

(a) The vehicle license fee (VLF) has been a longstanding and critical funding source exclusively reserved for cities and counties.

(b) The Legislature and the state chose to grant significant relief to the vehicle owning public in 1998 and made that relief permanent in 2004. When these choices were made, an undisputable condition of this relief was that cities and counties would continue to receive funding as if the full VLF were still in place.

(c) When the state needed a dedicated funding source to secure its Economic Recovery Bonds to afford the state significant and extended budget relief, the state turned to a one-fourth cent of the local sales and use tax as that identified source. Again, the transaction was predicated upon the principle that local governments would be held completely harmless and reimbursed on a dollar for dollar basis until the bonds were repaid and the local sales and use tax returned.

(d) Due to economic conditions unforeseen by either the state or local governments in some counties, including, but not limited to, the County of Amador and the County of Mono, all school districts within these counties have become basic aid districts, eliminating the ability to backfill cities and counties for the loss of VLF revenues or local sales and use tax revenue, thereby leaving these local agencies with no local source to fund either the VLF swap or the triple flip, resulting in severe budget shortfalls.

(e) Absent a state solution, permanent and irreparable harm and damage will be done to local governments within these counties through no fault of their own, forcing unthinkable layoffs and service reductions that cannot be mitigated locally.

(f) It is the intent of the Legislature in enacting this act to address the unintended and detrimental situation described above.

SEC. 2. Section 97.68 of the Revenue and Taxation Code is amended to read:

97.68. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, in allocating ad valorem property tax revenue allocations for each fiscal year during the fiscal adjustment period, all of the following apply:

(a) (1) The total amount of ad valorem property tax revenue otherwise required to be allocated to a county's Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund shall be reduced by the countywide adjustment amount.

(2) The countywide adjustment amount shall be deposited in a Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund that shall be established in the treasury of each county.

(b) For purposes of this section, the following definitions apply:

(1) "Fiscal adjustment period" means the period beginning with the 2004–05 fiscal year and continuing through the fiscal year in which the Director of Finance notifies the State Board of Equalization pursuant to subdivision (b) of Section 99006 of the Government Code.

(2) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (d), the "countywide adjustment amount" means the combined total revenue loss of the county and each city in the county that is annually estimated by the Director of Finance, based upon the actual amount of sales and use tax revenues transmitted under Section 7204 in that county in the prior fiscal year and any projected growth on that amount for the current fiscal year as determined by the State Board of Equalization and reported to the director on or before August 15 of each fiscal year during the fiscal adjustment period, to result for each of those fiscal years from the 0.25 percent reduction in local sales and use rate tax authority applied by Section 7203.1. The director shall adjust the estimates described in this paragraph if the board reports to him or her any changes in the projected growth in local sales and use tax revenues for the current fiscal year.

(3) "In lieu local sales and use tax revenues" means those revenues that are transferred under this section to a county or a city from a Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund or an Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund.

(c) Except as otherwise provided in subdivision (d), for each fiscal year during the fiscal adjustment period, in lieu sales and use tax revenues in the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund shall be allocated among the county and the cities in the county, and those allocations shall be subsequently adjusted, as follows:

(1) The Director of Finance shall, on or before September 1 of each fiscal year during the fiscal adjustment period, notify each county auditor of that portion of the countywide adjustment amount for that fiscal year that is attributable to the county and to each city within that county.

(2) The county auditor shall allocate revenues in the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund among the county and cities in the county in the amounts described in paragraph (1). The auditor shall allocate one-half of the amount described in paragraph (1) in each January during the fiscal adjustment period and shall allocate the

balance of that amount in each May during the fiscal adjustment period.

(3) After the end of each fiscal year during the fiscal adjustment period, other than a fiscal year subject to subdivision (d), the Director of Finance shall, based on the actual amount of sales and use tax revenues that were not transmitted for the prior fiscal year, recalculate each amount estimated under paragraph (1) and notify the county auditor of the recalculated amount.

(4) If the amount recalculated under paragraph (3) for the county or any city in the county is greater than the amount allocated to that local agency under paragraph (2), the county auditor shall, in the fiscal year next following the fiscal year for which the allocation was made, transfer an amount of ad valorem property tax revenue equal to this difference from the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund to that local agency.

(5) If the amount recalculated under paragraph (3) for the county or any city in the county is less than the amount allocated to that local agency under paragraph (2), the county auditor shall, in the fiscal year next following the fiscal year for which the allocation was made, reduce the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenue otherwise allocated to that city or county from the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund by an amount equal to this difference and instead allocate this difference to the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund.

(6) If there is an insufficient amount of moneys in a county's Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund to make the transfers required by paragraph (4), the county auditor shall transfer from the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund an amount sufficient to make the full amount of these transfers.

(d) Notwithstanding any other provision of this section, when Section 7203.1 ceases to be operative , all of the following apply:

(1) If Section 7203.1 ceases to be operative on an October 1 of a fiscal year during the fiscal adjustment period, all of the following apply:

(A) The "countywide adjustment amount" for that fiscal year means an amount equal to sum of the following two amounts:

(i) The combined total revenue loss of the county and each city in the county that is estimated by the director, based upon actual sales and use tax revenues transmitted under Section 7204 for the first quarter of the prior fiscal year as determined by the State

Board of Equalization and reported to the director on or before that August 15, to result for the first quarter of the current fiscal year from the 0.25 percent reduction in local sales and use tax rate authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(ii) The difference between the following two amounts:

(I) The total amount that was allocated to the county and each city in the county under subdivision (c) for the prior fiscal year.

(II) The actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted the county or city and county and each city in the county for the prior fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(B) On or before January 31 of that fiscal year, the auditor shall allocate to the county and each city in the county that portion of the countywide adjustment amount for that fiscal year that is attributable to the county and each city in the county.

(C) On or before May 1 of that fiscal year, the State Board of Equalization shall report to the director the actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted to the county and each city in the county in that fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1. On or before May 1 of that fiscal year, the director shall do both of the following:

(i) Determine the difference between the following two amounts:

(I) The amount specified in clause (i) of subparagraph (A) that was allocated to the county and each city in the county for that fiscal year under subparagraph (B).

(II) The actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted to the county and each city in the county for that fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(ii) Notify the auditor of each county of the amounts determined under clause (i) for his or her county and all of the cities in that county.

(D) (i) If, for any county or city, the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C) is greater than the amount described in subclause (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C), the county auditor shall, on or before May 31 of that fiscal year, reallocate from the entity to the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund the difference between those amounts.

(ii) If, for any county or city, the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C) is less than the amount described in subclause (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C), the county auditor shall, on or before May 31 of that fiscal year, reallocate from the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund to that entity the difference between those amounts.

(2) If Section 7203.1 ceases to be operative on a January 1 of a fiscal year during the fiscal adjustment period, all of the following apply:

(A) The "countywide adjustment amount" for that fiscal year means an amount equal to the sum of the following two amounts:

(i) The combined total revenue loss of the county and each city in the county that is estimated by the director, based upon actual sales and use tax revenues transmitted under Section 7204 for the first and second quarters of the prior fiscal year as determined by the State Board of Equalization and reported to the director on or before that August 15, to result for the first and second quarters of that fiscal year from the 0.25 percent reduction in local sales and use tax rate authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(ii) The difference between the following two amounts:

(I) The total amount that was allocated to the county and each city in the county under subdivision (c) for the prior fiscal year.

(II) The actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted the county or city and county and each city in the county for the prior fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(B) The auditor shall allocate to the county and each city in the county that portion of the countywide adjustment amount for that fiscal year that is attributable to the county and each city in the county. One-half of this amount shall be allocated on or before January 31 of that fiscal year and the other one-half of that amount shall be allocated on or before May 31 of that fiscal year.

(C) On or before June 30 of that fiscal year, the State Board of Equalization shall report to the director the actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted to the county and each city in the county for that fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1. On or before June 30 of that fiscal year, the director shall do both of the following:

(i) Determine the difference between the following two amounts:

(I) The amount specified in clause (i) of subparagraph (A) that was allocated to the county and each city in the county for that fiscal year under subparagraph (B).

(II) The actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted to the county and each city in the county for that fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(ii) Notify the auditor of each county of the amounts determined under clause (i) for his or her county and all of the cities in that county.

(D) (i) If, for any county or city, the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C) is greater than the amount described in subclause (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C), the county auditor shall, on or before January 31 of the following fiscal year, reallocate from the entity to the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund the difference between those amounts.

(ii) If, for any county or city, the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C) is less than the amount described in subclause (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C), the county auditor shall, on or before January 31 of the following fiscal year, reallocate from the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund to that entity the difference between those amounts.

(3) If Section 7203.1 ceases to be operative on an April 1 of a fiscal year during the fiscal adjustment period, all of the following apply:

(A) On or before May 1 of that fiscal year, the director shall determine and report to the auditor of each county that portion of the countywide adjustment amount that is attributable to the estimated sales and use tax revenue losses, resulting from the rate suspension applied by Section 7203.1, for the fourth quarter of that fiscal year for the county and each city in the county.

(B) The auditor shall reduce the total amount that is otherwise required to be allocated in May of that fiscal year from the county Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund to the county and each city in the county by the amount reported by the director with respect to that entity under subparagraph (A). After the May allocations have been made, the auditor shall transfer any moneys remaining in the county Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund to the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund.

(C) On or before January 1 of the next fiscal year, the State Board of Equalization shall report to the director the actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted to the county and each city in the county for the prior fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1. On or before January 1 of that fiscal year, the director shall do both of the following:

(i) Determine the difference between the following two amounts:

(I) The total amount that was allocated to the county and each city in the county for the prior fiscal year under subdivision (c), as adjusted under subparagraph (B).

(II) The actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted to the county and each city in the county for the prior fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(ii) Notify the auditor of each county of the amounts determined under clause (i) for his or her county and all of the cities in that county.

(D) (i) If, for any county or city, the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C) is greater than the amount described in subclause (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C), the county auditor shall, on or before January 31 of that fiscal year, reallocate from the entity to the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund the difference between those amounts.

(ii) If, for any county or city, the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C) is less than the amount described in subclause (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (C), the county auditor shall, on or before January 31 of the following fiscal year, reallocate from the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund to that entity the difference between those amounts.

(4) If Section 7203.1 ceases to be operative on a July 1, all of the following apply:

(A) On or before January 1 of that fiscal year, the State Board of Equalization shall notify the Director of Finance of the actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted to each county and city for the prior fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(B) On or before January 31 of that fiscal year, the director shall do both of the following:

(i) Determine for each city, county, and city and county, the difference between the following two amounts:

(I) The total amount that was allocated to that entity under subdivision (c) for the prior fiscal year.

(II) The actual total amount of local sales and use tax revenue that was not transmitted to the entity for the prior fiscal year as a result of the 0.25 percent suspension of local sales and use tax authority applied by Section 7203.1.

(ii) Notify the auditor of each county of the amounts determined under clause (i) for his or her county and all of the cities in that county.

(C) (i) If, for any county or city, the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) of subparagraph (B) is greater than the amount described in subclause (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (B), the county auditor shall, on or before January 31 of that fiscal year, reallocate from the entity to the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund the difference between those amounts.

(ii) If, for any county or city, the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) of subparagraph (B) is less than the amount described in subclause (II) of clause (i) of subparagraph (B), the county auditor shall, on or before January 31 of the following fiscal year, reallocate from the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund to that entity the difference between those amounts.

(e) For the 2012–13 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, if there is not enough ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to a county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund for the county auditor to complete the allocations required by this section, the county auditor shall calculate, for each county and each city in the county, the difference between the countywide adjustment amount for that fiscal year and the in lieu local sales and use tax revenues actually received by each county and city in the county for that fiscal year pursuant to this section, and shall submit a claim to the Controller for the total amount of the difference. The Controller shall, upon appropriation by the Legislature, deposit the amount of the claim

into the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund. The county auditor shall, within 30 days of the date the Controller deposits the amount of the claim into the Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund, allocate to each county and to each city in the county the amount of the difference that was calculated by the county auditor for each county and each city in the county pursuant to this subdivision.

~~(e)~~

(f) For the 2005–06 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter, the amounts determined under subdivision (a) of Section 96.1, or any successor to that provision, may not reflect any portion of any property tax revenue allocation required by this section for a preceding fiscal year.

~~(f)~~

(g) This section may not be construed to do any of the following:

(1) Reduce any allocations of excess, additional, or remaining funds that would otherwise have been allocated to cities, counties, cities and counties, or special districts pursuant to clause (i) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Section 97.2, clause (i) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Section 97.3, or Article 4 (commencing with Section 98), had this section not been enacted. The allocation made pursuant to subdivisions (a) and (c) shall be adjusted to comply with this paragraph.

(2) Require an increased ad valorem property tax revenue allocation to a community redevelopment agency.

(3) Alter the manner in which ad valorem property tax revenue growth from fiscal year to fiscal year is determined or allocated in a county.

~~(g)~~

(h) Existing tax exchange or revenue sharing agreements, entered into prior to the operative date of this section, between local agencies or between local agencies and nonlocal agencies shall be deemed to be temporarily modified to account for the reduced sales and use tax revenues, resulting from the temporary reduction in the local sales and use tax rate, with those reduced revenues to be replaced in kind by property tax revenue from a Sales and Use Tax Compensation Fund or an Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund, on a temporary basis, as provided by this section.

SEC. 3. Section 97.70 of the Revenue and Taxation Code is amended to read:

97.70. Notwithstanding any other provision of law, for the 2004–05 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, all of the following apply:

(a) (1) (A) The auditor shall reduce the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to a county's Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund by the countywide vehicle license fee adjustment amount.

(B) If, for the fiscal year, after complying with Section 97.68 there is not enough ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to a county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund for the auditor to complete the allocation reduction required by subparagraph (A), the auditor shall additionally reduce the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to all school districts and community college districts in the county for that fiscal year by an amount equal to the difference between the countywide vehicle license fee adjustment amount and the amount of ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to the county Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund for that fiscal year. This reduction for each school district and community college district in the county shall be the percentage share of the total reduction that is equal to the proportion that the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to the school district or community college district bears to the total amount of ad valorem property tax revenue that is otherwise required to be allocated to all school districts and community college districts in a county. For purposes of this subparagraph, "school districts" and "community college districts" do not include any districts that are excess tax school entities, as defined in Section 95.

(C) For the 2012–13 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, if, after applying subparagraph (B), the auditor is unable to complete the reduction required by subparagraph (A), the auditor shall, after complying with Section 75.70, allocate to the Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund from any remaining ad valorem property tax revenue that is not required to be allocated to any elementary, high school, or unified school district pursuant to paragraph (6) of subdivision (c) of Section

75.70, an amount equal to that portion of the reduction required by subparagraph (A) that could not be made pursuant to subparagraphs (A) and (B).

(D) If, after making the allocation required by subparagraph (C), there is still not enough ad valorem property tax revenue for the auditor to complete the reduction required by subparagraph (A), the auditor shall submit a claim to the Controller for the remaining difference. The Controller shall, upon appropriation by the Legislature, deposit the amount of the claim into the Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund. The auditor shall, within 30 days of the date the Controller deposits the amount of the claim into the Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund, allocate that amount among the cities, county, and city and county in accordance with this section.

(2) The countywide vehicle license fee adjustment amount shall be allocated to the Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund that shall be established in the treasury of each county.

(b) (1) The auditor shall allocate moneys in the Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund according to the following:

(A) Each city in the county shall receive its vehicle license fee adjustment amount.

(B) Each county and city and county shall receive its vehicle license fee adjustment amount.

(2) The auditor shall allocate one-half of the amount specified in paragraph (1) on or before January 31 of each fiscal year, and the other one-half on or before May 31 of each fiscal year.

(c) For purposes of this section, all of the following apply:

(1) "Vehicle license fee adjustment amount" for a particular city, county, or a city and county means, subject to an adjustment under paragraph (2) and Section 97.71, all of the following:

(A) For the 2004–05 fiscal year, an amount equal to the difference between the following two amounts:

(i) The estimated total amount of revenue that would have been deposited to the credit of the Motor Vehicle License Fee Account in the Transportation Tax Fund, including any amounts that would have been certified to the Controller by the auditor of the County of Ventura under subdivision (j) of Section 98.02, as that section read on January 1, 2004, for distribution under the law as it read on January 1, 2004, to the county, city and county, or city for the 2004–05 fiscal year if the fee otherwise due under the Vehicle

License Fee Law (Pt. 5 (commencing with Section 10701) of Div. 2) was 2 percent of the market value of a vehicle, as specified in Section 10752 and 10752.1 as those sections read on January 1, 2004.

(ii) The estimated total amount of revenue that is required to be distributed from the Motor Vehicle License Fee Account in the Transportation Tax Fund to the county, city and county, and each city in the county for the 2004–05 fiscal year under Section 11005, as that section read on the operative date of the act that amended this clause.

(B) (i) Subject to an adjustment under clause (ii), for the 2005–06 fiscal year, the sum of the following two amounts:

(I) The difference between the following two amounts:

(Ia) The actual total amount of revenue that would have been deposited to the credit of the Motor Vehicle License Fee Account in the Transportation Tax Fund, including any amounts that would have been certified to the Controller by the auditor of the County of Ventura under subdivision (j) of Section 98.02, as that section read on January 1, 2004, for distribution under the law as it read on January 1, 2004, to the county, city and county, or city for the 2004–05 fiscal year if the fee otherwise due under the Vehicle License Fee Law (Part 5 (commencing with Section 10701) of Division 2) was 2 percent of the market value of a vehicle, as specified in Sections 10752 and 10752.1 as those sections read on January 1, 2004.

(Ib) The actual total amount of revenue that was distributed from the Motor Vehicle License Fee Account in the Transportation Tax Fund to the county, city and county, and each city in the county for the 2004–05 fiscal year under Section 11005, as that section read on the operative date of the act that amended this sub-subclause.

(II) The product of the following two amounts:

(IIa) The amount described in subclause (I).

(IIb) The percentage change from the prior fiscal year to the current fiscal year in gross taxable assessed valuation within the jurisdiction of the entity, as reflected in the equalized assessment roll for those fiscal years. For the first fiscal year for which a change in a city's jurisdictional boundaries first applies, the percentage change in gross taxable assessed valuation from the prior fiscal year to the current fiscal year shall be calculated solely on the basis of the city's previous jurisdictional boundaries, without regard to the change in that city's jurisdictional boundaries. For each following fiscal year, the percentage change in gross taxable assessed valuation from the prior fiscal year to the current fiscal year shall be calculated on the basis of the city's current jurisdictional boundaries.

(ii) The amount described in clause (i) shall be adjusted as follows:

(I) If the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) for a particular city, county, or city and county is greater than the amount described in subparagraph (A) for that city, county, or city and county, the amount described in clause (i) shall be increased by an amount equal to this difference.

(II) If the amount described in subclause (I) of clause (i) for a particular city, county, or city and county is less than the amount described in subparagraph (A) for that city, county, or city and county, the amount described in clause (i) shall be decreased by an amount equal to this difference.

(C) For the 2006–07 fiscal year and for each fiscal year thereafter, the sum of the following two amounts:

(i) The vehicle license fee adjustment amount for the prior fiscal year, if Section 97.71 and clause (ii) of subparagraph (B) did not apply for that fiscal year, for that city, county, and city and county.

(ii) The product of the following two amounts:

(I) The amount described in clause (i).

(II) The percentage change from the prior fiscal year to the current fiscal year in gross taxable assessed valuation within the jurisdiction of the entity, as reflected in the equalized assessment roll for those fiscal years. For the first fiscal year for which a change in a city's jurisdictional boundaries first applies, the percentage change in gross taxable assessed valuation from the prior fiscal year to the current fiscal year shall be calculated solely on the basis of the city's previous jurisdictional boundaries, without regard to the change in that city's jurisdictional boundaries. For each following fiscal year, the percentage change in gross taxable assessed valuation from the prior fiscal year to the current fiscal year shall be calculated on the basis of the city's current jurisdictional boundaries.

(2) "Countywide vehicle license fee adjustment amount" means, for any fiscal year, the total sum of the amounts described in

paragraph (1) for a county or city and county, and each city in the county.

(3) On or before June 30 of each fiscal year, the auditor shall report to the Controller the vehicle license fee adjustment amount for the county and each city in the county for that fiscal year.

(d) For the 2005–06 fiscal year and each fiscal year thereafter, the amounts determined under subdivision (a) of Section 96.1, or any successor to that provision, shall not reflect, for a preceding fiscal year, any portion of any allocation required by this section.

(e) For purposes of Section 15 of Article XI of the California Constitution, the allocations from a Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund constitute successor taxes that are otherwise required to be allocated to counties and cities, and as successor taxes, the obligation to make those transfers as required by this section shall not be extinguished nor disregarded in any manner that adversely affects the security of, or the ability of, a county or city to pay the principal and interest on any debts or obligations that were funded or secured by that city's or county's allocated share of motor vehicle license fee revenues.

(f) This section shall not be construed to do any of the following:

(1) Reduce any allocations of excess, additional, or remaining funds that would otherwise have been allocated to county superintendents of schools, cities, counties, and cities and counties pursuant to clause (i) of subparagraph (B) of paragraph (4) of subdivision (d) of Sections 97.2 and 97.3 or Article 4 (commencing with Section 98) had this section not been enacted. The allocations required by this section shall be adjusted to comply with this paragraph.

(2) Require an increased ad valorem property tax revenue allocation or increased tax increment allocation to a community redevelopment agency.

(3) Alter the manner in which ad valorem property tax revenue growth from fiscal year to fiscal year is otherwise determined or allocated in a county.

(4) Reduce ad valorem property tax revenue allocations required under Article 4 (commencing with Section 98).

(g) Tax exchange or revenue sharing agreements, entered into prior to the operative date of this section, between local agencies or between local agencies and nonlocal agencies are deemed to be modified to account for the reduced vehicle license fee revenues resulting from the act that added this section. These agreements are modified in that these reduced revenues are, in kind and in lieu thereof, replaced with ad valorem property tax revenue from a Vehicle License Fee Property Tax Compensation Fund or an Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund.

SEC. 4. If the Commission on State Mandates determines that this act contains costs mandated by the state, reimbursement to local agencies and school districts for those costs shall be made pursuant to Part 7 (commencing with Section 17500) of Division 4 of Title 2 of the Government Code.

~~SECTION 1. Section 50075.5 of the Public Utilities Code is repealed.~~

O