

AMENDED IN ASSEMBLY JUNE 5, 2014

CALIFORNIA LEGISLATURE—2013–14 REGULAR SESSION

Assembly Joint Resolution

No. 47

Introduced by Assembly Member Donnelly
(Coauthor: Senator Leno)

May 1, 2014

Assembly Joint Resolution No. 47—Relative to mandatory minimum sentencing.

LEGISLATIVE COUNSEL’S DIGEST

AJR 47, as amended, Donnelly. Mandatory minimum sentencing.

The measure would urge the Congress and the President of the United States to end mandatory minimum sentences for nonviolent drug offenses.

Fiscal committee: no.

1 WHEREAS, For most of the 19th and 20th centuries, federal
2 trial judges had broad, virtually unlimited sentencing discretion;
3 and

4 WHEREAS, The Sentencing Reform Act of 1984 established
5 the United States Sentencing Commission and directed it to
6 promulgate sentencing guidelines that would regulate and govern
7 a sentencing court’s discretion. In some cases, the act eliminated
8 the court’s discretion entirely by requiring a mandatory minimum
9 sentence for certain types of crimes; and

10 WHEREAS, These mandatory sentences have led to some harsh
11 results because they do not take into account the facts of the
12 individual case; and

1 WHEREAS, There is currently bipartisan support for the
2 removal of mandatory minimum ~~sentences~~, ~~at least sentences~~ in
3 nonviolent drug crime cases; and

4 WHEREAS, United States Senator Rand Paul of Kentucky
5 stated, “Our county’s mandatory minimum laws reflect a
6 Washington-knows-best, one-size-fits-all approach, which
7 undermines the Constitutional separation of powers, violates our
8 bedrock principle that people should be treated as individuals, and
9 costs the taxpayers money without making them any safer”; and

10 WHEREAS, Attorney General Eric Holder stated, “Too many
11 Americans go to too many prisons for far too long, and for no truly
12 good law enforcement reason”; and

13 WHEREAS, Grover Norquist of Americans for Tax Reform
14 stated, “the benefits, if any, of mandatory minimum sentences do
15 not justify this burden to taxpayers. Illegal drug use rates are
16 relatively stable, not shrinking. It appears that mandatory
17 minimums have become a sort of poor man’s Prohibition; a grossly
18 simplistic and ineffectual government response to a problem that
19 has been around longer than our government itself. Viewed through
20 the skeptical eye I train on all other government programs, I have
21 concluded that mandatory minimum sentencing policies are not
22 worth the high cost to America’s taxpayers”; and

23 WHEREAS, Former federal prosecutors have testified that
24 mandatory minimum sentences do not accomplish the purpose of
25 identification of high-level drug organization leaders by low-level
26 offenders; and

27 WHEREAS, In addition, the longer term incarceration of federal
28 criminals has led to one-third of the budget of the United States
29 Department of Justice being spent operating prisons; now,
30 therefore, be it

31 *Resolved by the Assembly and the Senate of the State of*
32 *California, jointly*, That the Legislature urges the President and
33 the Congress of the United States to end mandatory minimum
34 sentences for nonviolent drug offenses; and be it further

35 *Resolved*, That the Chief Clerk of the Assembly transmit copies
36 of this resolution to the President and the Vice President of the
37 United States, to the Speaker of the House of Representatives, to
38 the Majority Leader of the Senate, and to each Senator and
39 Representative from California in the Congress of the United

- 1 States, to the Governor of California, and to the author of this
- 2 resolution.

O